
UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

Form 10-Q

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF
THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended September 30, 2006

Commission File No. 1-2960

Newpark Resources, Inc.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware

(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)

72-1123385

(I.R.S. Employer
Identification No.)

3850 N. Causeway, Suite 1770

Metairie, Louisiana

(Address of principal executive offices)

70002

(Zip Code)

(504) 838-8222

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

None

(Former name, former address and former fiscal year, if changed since last report)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer or a non-accelerated filer. See definition of "accelerated filer and large accelerated filer" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one)

Large accelerated filer

Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

Yes No

As of November 6, 2006, a total of 89,432,473 shares of Common Stock, \$0.01 par value per share, were outstanding.

EXPLANATORY NOTE

This Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q includes restated consolidated financial statements for the three- and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2005. For discussion of the reasons for and the effect of the restatement, please refer to Amendment No. 2 to our Annual Report on Form 10-K/A for the year ended December 31, 2005, which we refer to as the 2005 Annual Report. The Explanatory Note contained in the 2005 Annual Report, as well as Note A to the restated consolidated financial statements included in the 2005 Annual Report, describe the circumstances and results of the restatement of our consolidated financial statements in connection with the period covered by the 2005 Annual Report, which includes the consolidated financial statements for the quarter and nine months ended September 30, 2005. Note Q to the restated consolidated financial statements included in the 2005 Annual Report includes a summary of the restated consolidated financial statements for the three- and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2005.

NEWPARK RESOURCES, INC.
INDEX TO QUARTERLY REPORT ON FORM 10-Q
FOR THE THREE- AND NINE-MONTH PERIODS ENDED
September 30, 2006

Item Number	Description	Page Number
<u>PART I</u>		
<u>1</u>	<u>Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements:</u>	
	<u>Balance Sheets as of September 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005</u>	6
	<u>Statements of Income for the Three- and Nine-Month Periods Ended September 30, 2006 and 2005 (restated)</u>	7
	<u>Statements of Comprehensive Income for the Three- and Nine-Month Periods Ended September 30, 2006 and 2005 (restated)</u>	8
	<u>Statements of Cash Flows for the Nine-Month Periods Ended September 30, 2006 and 2005 (restated)</u>	9
	<u>Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	10
<u>2</u>	<u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	21
<u>3</u>	<u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>	38
<u>4</u>	<u>Controls and Procedures</u>	39
<u>PART II</u>		
<u>1</u>	<u>Legal Proceedings</u>	41
<u>1A</u>	<u>Risk Factors</u>	41
<u>2</u>	<u>Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u>	44
<u>3</u>	<u>Defaults upon Senior Securities</u>	44
<u>4</u>	<u>Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders</u>	44
<u>5</u>	<u>Other Information</u>	44
<u>6</u>	<u>Exhibits</u>	44
	<u>Signatures</u>	45
	<u>2004 Non-Employee Directors' Stock Option Plan</u>	
	<u>Certification pursuant to Section 302</u>	
	<u>Certification pursuant to Section 302</u>	
	<u>Certification pursuant to Section 906</u>	
	<u>Certification pursuant to Section 906</u>	

CAUTIONARY STATEMENT CONCERNING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q contains “forward-looking statements” within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. We also may provide oral or written forward-looking statements in other materials we release to the public. The words “anticipates,” “believes,” “estimates,” “expects,” “plans,” “intends,” and similar expressions are intended to identify these forward-looking statements but are not the exclusive means of identifying them. These forward-looking statements reflect the current views of our management; however, various risks, uncertainties and contingencies, including the risks identified below or those in Item 1A, “Risk Factors,” in Part II of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q and in Item 1A, “Risk Factors,” in Part I of Amendment No. 2 to our Annual Report on Form 10-K/A for the year ended December 31, 2005, could cause our actual results, performance or achievements to differ materially from those expressed in, or implied by, these statements, including the success or failure of our efforts to implement our business strategy.

Table of Contents

We assume no obligation to update publicly any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise, except as required by securities laws. In light of these risks, uncertainties and assumptions, the forward-looking events discussed in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q might not occur.

Among the risks and uncertainties that could cause future events and results to differ materially from those we anticipate in the forward-looking statements included in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q are the following:

- a material decline in the level of oil and gas exploration and production and any reduction in the industry's willingness to spend capital on environmental and oilfield services;
- material changes in oil and gas prices, expectations about future prices, the cost of exploring for, producing and delivering oil and gas, the discovery rate of new oil and gas reserves and the ability of oil and gas companies to raise capital;
- changes in domestic and international political, military, regulatory and economic conditions;
- a rescission or relaxation of government regulations affecting exploration and production ("E&P") and Naturally Occurring Radioactive Material ("NORM") waste disposal;
- changes in existing regulations related to E&P and NORM waste disposal;
- failure of our patents or other proprietary technology to prevent our competitors from developing substantially similar technology, which would reduce any competitive advantages we may have from these patents and proprietary technology;
- failure to keep pace with the continual and rapid technological developments in our industries;
- the highly competitive nature of our business;
- failure of our investments in new businesses, new technology or new products and services to achieve sales and profitability levels that justify our investment in them, which could result in these investments placing downward pressure on our margins, the recording of a material impairment, or our disposing of these investments at a loss;
- unavailability of critical supplies or equipment in the oil and gas industry and personnel trained to operate this equipment or provide our services;
- increases in our costs, including raw materials costs, transportation costs and personnel costs which are not fully offset by price increases to our customers, resulting in downward pressure on our operating margins;
- failure to gain continued acceptance or market share for our products and services, including our DeepDrill® and FlexDrill™ technology, our Dura-Base™ and Bravo™ mats;
- inability to continue in effect the permits necessary to operate our E&P waste and non-hazardous waste disposal wells;
- adverse weather conditions that could disrupt drilling operations or our ability to service our customers and reduce the demand for our services;
- failure to comply with any of the numerous federal, state and local laws, regulations and policies that govern environmental protection, zoning and other matters applicable to our business, or changes in these regulations and policies;
- exposure to potential environmental or regulatory liability, which could require us to pay substantial amounts with respect to these liabilities, including costs to clean up and close contaminated sites;
- inability to maintain adequate insurance against risks in our business at economical rates, including in connection with the class action lawsuits filed against us and our current and former directors and officers;
- the impact of those class action lawsuits and the shareholder derivative actions on our business and results of operations;
- social, political and economic situations in foreign countries where we operate, including compliance with a wide variety of complex U.S. and foreign laws, treaties and regulations, unexpected changes in regulatory environments, inadequate protection of intellectual property, legal uncertainties, timing delays and expenses associated with tariffs, export licenses and other trade barriers;

Table of Contents

- consequences of significant changes in interest rates and currency exchange rates;
- our inability to retire or refinance our long-term debt at or before its maturity, which could be affected by conditions in financial markets or our own financial condition at a future time, and our inability to obtain any replacement long-term financing on terms as favorable to us as under our current financing, if at all; and
- the impact of shutting down the operations of Newpark Environmental Water Solutions, LLC, and the related charges that are expected to be incurred in connection with that shut down (see Note 6, "Impairment of Long-Lived Assets," to our consolidated financial statements included in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q).

PART I**ITEM 1. Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements**

Newpark Resources, Inc.

Consolidated Balance Sheets

(In thousands, except share data)

September 30, 2006
(Unaudited)

December 31, 2005

ASSETS**Current assets:**

Cash and cash equivalents	\$	6,215	\$	7,989
Trade accounts receivable, less allowance of \$1,706 at September 30, 2006 and \$804 at December 31, 2005		162,098		137,174
Notes and other receivables		2,258		12,623
Inventories		113,395		88,731
Deferred tax asset		18,735		16,231
Prepaid expenses and other current assets		12,868		13,448
Total current assets		315,569		276,196

Property, plant and equipment, at cost, net of accumulated depreciation		234,157		238,409
Goodwill		118,252		116,841
Other intangible assets, net of accumulated amortization		12,532		12,809
Other assets		7,656		7,039
	\$	688,166	\$	651,294

LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY**Current liabilities:**

Foreign bank lines of credit	\$	11,412	\$	10,890
Current maturities of long-term debt		4,852		12,696
Accounts payable		41,003		47,371
Accrued liabilities		48,154		40,731
Total current liabilities		105,421		111,688

Long-term debt, less current portion		204,619		185,933
Deferred tax liability		9,520		4,211
Other non-current liabilities		4,031		2,737

Stockholders' equity:

Common Stock, \$.01 par value, 100,000,000 shares authorized, 89,432,473 and 88,436,112 shares issued and outstanding at September 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005, respectively		894		884
Paid-in capital		443,385		436,636
Unearned restricted stock compensation		—		(235)
Accumulated other comprehensive income		8,629		7,616
Retained deficit		(88,333)		(98,176)
Total stockholders' equity		364,575		346,725
	\$	688,166	\$	651,294

See Accompanying Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements

[Table of Contents](#)

Newpark Resources, Inc.

Consolidated Statements of Income

For the Three- and Nine-Month Periods Ended September 30

(Unaudited)

(In thousands, except per share data)	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2006	2005 (Restated)	2006	2005 (Restated)
Revenues	\$ 170,142	\$ 139,143	\$ 501,738	\$ 409,692
Cost of revenues	<u>144,821</u>	<u>126,067</u>	<u>440,254</u>	<u>367,343</u>
	25,321	13,076	61,484	42,349
General and administrative expenses	5,050	2,482	13,842	7,186
Impairment loss	<u>17,804</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>17,804</u>	<u>—</u>
Operating income	2,467	10,594	29,838	35,163
Foreign currency exchange loss (gain)	149	(352)	(158)	(343)
Interest income	(123)	(126)	(268)	(250)
Interest expense	<u>6,168</u>	<u>4,122</u>	<u>15,232</u>	<u>12,398</u>
(Loss) income before income taxes	(3,727)	6,950	15,032	23,358
(Benefit) provision for income taxes	<u>(1,462)</u>	<u>1,678</u>	<u>5,189</u>	<u>7,582</u>
Net (loss) income	(2,265)	5,272	9,843	15,776
Preferred stock dividends	<u>—</u>	<u>59</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>509</u>
Net (loss) income applicable to common and common equivalent shares	<u>\$ (2,265)</u>	<u>\$ 5,213</u>	<u>\$ 9,843</u>	<u>\$ 15,267</u>
Basic and diluted (loss) income per common and common equivalent share	<u>\$ (0.03)</u>	<u>\$ 0.06</u>	<u>\$ 0.11</u>	<u>\$ 0.18</u>

See Accompanying Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements

[Table of Contents](#)

Newpark Resources, Inc.

Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income

For the Three- and Nine-Month Periods Ended September 30

(Unaudited)

(In thousands)	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2006	2005 (Restated)	2006	2005 (Restated)
Net (loss) income	\$ (2,265)	\$ 5,272	\$ 9,843	\$ 15,776
Changes in interest rate swap and cap (net of tax of \$204)	(378)	—	(378)	—
Foreign currency translation adjustments	426	1,878	1,391	(445)
Comprehensive (loss) income	<u>\$ (2,217)</u>	<u>\$ 7,150</u>	<u>\$ 10,856</u>	<u>\$ 15,331</u>

See Accompanying Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements

[Table of Contents](#)

Newpark Resources, Inc.

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows

For the Nine-Month Periods Ended September 30,

(Unaudited)

(In thousands)	2006	2005 (Restated)
Cash flows from operating activities:		
Net income	\$ 9,843	\$ 15,776
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operations:		
Depreciation and amortization	20,134	17,658
Impairment loss	17,804	—
Stock-based compensation expense	1,711	552
Provision for deferred income taxes	2,564	6,851
Gain on sale of assets	(614)	(549)
Change in assets and liabilities:		
Increase in accounts and notes receivable	(20,783)	(32,963)
(Increase) decrease in inventories	(25,541)	395
Increase in other assets	(3,464)	(5,326)
(Decrease) increase in accounts payable	(6,279)	1,822
Increase in accrued liabilities and other	12,112	14,618
Net cash provided by operating activities	7,487	18,834
Cash flows from investing activities:		
Capital expenditures	(29,408)	(25,348)
Proceeds from sale of property, plant and equipment	1,210	1,022
Insurance proceeds from property, plant and equipment claim	3,471	—
Acquisitions, net of cash received	—	(840)
Net cash used in investing activities	(24,727)	(25,166)
Cash flows from financing activities:		
Net borrowings on lines of credit	17,078	6,415
Long-term borrowings	150,000	4,855
Payments on notes payable and long-term debt	(156,863)	(5,890)
Preferred stock dividends paid in cash	—	(375)
Proceeds from exercise of stock options and ESPP	4,385	4,942
Tax benefit from exercise of stock options	640	—
Net cash provided by financing activities	15,240	9,947
Effect of exchange rate changes	226	(319)
Net (decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents	(1,774)	3,296
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	7,989	7,022
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	<u>\$ 6,215</u>	<u>\$ 10,318</u>

See Accompanying Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements

NEWPARK RESOURCES, INC.
NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Note 1 — Basis of Presentation and Significant Accounting Policies

In the opinion of management, the accompanying unaudited consolidated financial statements reflect all adjustments necessary to present fairly the financial position of Newpark Resources, Inc. (“Newpark”) as of September 30, 2006, and the results of its operations and its cash flows for the three- and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2006 and 2005. All adjustments are of a normal recurring nature. The September 30, 2005 interim consolidated financial statements have been restated. For discussion of the reasons for and the effect of the restatement, please refer to Amendment No. 2 to Newpark’s Annual Report on Form 10-K/A for the year ended December 31, 2005, which is referred to as the 2005 Annual Report. These interim consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with the audited consolidated financial statements and related notes filed in Amendment No. 2 to Newpark’s Annual Report on Form 10-K/A for the year ended December 31, 2005. The results of operations for the three- and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2006 are not necessarily indicative of the results to be expected for the entire year. Newpark has reclassified certain amounts previously reported to conform with the presentation at September 30, 2006.

Effective January 1, 2006, Newpark adopted Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (“FAS”) No. 123 (revised 2004), “Share-Based Payment” (“FAS 123(R)”), using a modified prospective method of application. FAS 123(R) requires that all share-based payments to employees, including grants of employee stock options, be recognized in the income statement based on their fair values. Newpark uses the Black-Scholes option-pricing model for measuring the fair value of stock options granted. Under the provisions of FAS 123(R) and using the modified prospective application method, Newpark recognizes stock-based compensation based on the grant date fair value, net of an estimated forfeiture rate, for all share-based awards granted after December 31, 2005, and granted prior to, but not yet vested as of December 31, 2005, on a straight-line basis over the requisite service periods of the awards, which is generally equivalent to the vesting term. Under the modified prospective application, the results of prior periods are not restated.

Prior to January 1, 2006, Newpark accounted for stock-based compensation using the intrinsic value method under Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 25 (“APB 25”) and related interpretations. Under APB 25, compensation cost is recognized only if the exercise price of an employee stock option is less than the fair value of the underlying stock on the measurement date.

FAS 123(R) amends FAS No. 95, “Statement of Cash Flows,” to require reporting of realized excess tax benefits as a financing cash flow, rather than as a reduction of taxes paid. These excess tax benefits result from tax deductions in excess of the cumulative compensation expense recognized for options exercised.

On March 29, 2005, the Securities and Exchange Commission (the “SEC”) issued Staff Accounting Bulletin 107 (“SAB 107”) to address certain issues related to FAS 123(R). SAB 107 provides guidance on transition methods, income tax effects and other share-based payment topics, and Newpark applied this guidance in its adoption of FAS 123(R).

On November 10, 2005, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (the “FASB”) issued FASB Staff Position No. FAS 123(R)-3, “Transition Election Related to Accounting for Tax Effects of Share-Based Payment Awards” (“FSP 123R-3”). FSP 123R-3 provides an alternative transition method for establishing the beginning balance of the additional paid-in-capital pool (“APIC pool”) related to the tax effects of employee share-based compensation, which is available to absorb tax deficiencies recognized subsequent to the adoption of FAS 123(R). Newpark elected to adopt this alternative transition method in establishing its beginning APIC pool at January 1, 2006. See Note 2 for further information on stock-based compensation.

Effective January 1, 2006, Newpark adopted FAS 151, “Inventory Costs—an amendment of ARB No. 43, Chapter 4” (“FAS 151”), which clarified the accounting for abnormal amounts of idle facility expense, freight, handling costs and wasted material (spoilage). These items must be

recognized as current-period charges regardless of whether they meet a criterion of “so abnormal.” FAS 151 also requires that allocation of fixed production overheads to costs of conversion be based on the normal capacity of production facilities. The adoption of FAS 151 had no material impact on Newpark’s financial results.

Effective January 1, 2006, Newpark adopted FAS No. 154, “Accounting Changes and Error Corrections” (“FAS 154”). FAS 154 replaces APB 20, “Accounting Changes,” and FAS No. 3, “Reporting Accounting Changes in Interim Financial Statements,” and establishes retrospective application as the required method for reporting a change in accounting principle. FAS 154 provides guidance for determining whether retrospective application of a change in accounting principle is impracticable and for reporting a change when retrospective application is impracticable. The reporting of a correction of an error by restating previously issued financial statements is also addressed. The adoption of FAS 154 had no material impact on Newpark’s consolidated financial results, but was considered in preparing the restated historical consolidated financial statements as disclosed in Amendment No. 2 to Newpark’s Annual Report on Form 10-K/A filed for the year ended December 31, 2005.

On July 13, 2006, the FASB issued Interpretation No. 48, “Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes—an interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109” (“FIN 48”). FIN 48 applies to all tax positions related to income taxes subject to Financial Accounting Standards Board Statement No. 109, “Accounting for Income Taxes.” FIN 48 clarifies the accounting for income taxes by prescribing the minimum recognition threshold a tax position is required to meet before being recognized in the financial statements. Differences between the amounts recognized in the statements of financial position prior to the adoption of FIN 48 and the amounts reported after adoption will be accounted for as a cumulative effect adjustment recorded to the beginning balance of retained earnings. FIN 48 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2006 and will be adopted by Newpark on January 1, 2007. Newpark is reviewing the new standard and has not determined the impact, if any, the adoption of FIN 48 will have on its consolidated financial position or results of operations.

Note 2 — Stock-Based Compensation

At September 30, 2006, Newpark had several stock-based employee compensation plans, as follows:

1995 Incentive Stock Option Plan

On November 2, 1995, the Board of Directors adopted, and on June 12, 1996, the stockholders approved, the 1995 Incentive Stock Option Plan (the “1995 Plan”), pursuant to which the Compensation Committee of Newpark’s Board of Directors may grant incentive stock options and non-statutory stock options to designated employees of Newpark. The terms of options granted under the 1995 Plan generally provide for equal vesting over a three-year period and a term of seven years. Initially, a maximum of 2,100,000 shares of Common Stock could be issued under the 1995 Plan. This maximum number was subject to increase on the last business day of each fiscal year by a number equal to 1.25% of the number of shares of Common Stock issued and outstanding on the close of business on that date, subject to a maximum limit of 8,000,000 shares. This reflects an increase in the limit that was approved by Newpark stockholders in June 2000. After November 1, 2005, no options were able to be granted under the 1995 Plan, but unexpired options granted before that date continue in effect in accordance with their terms until they are exercised or expire.

2004 Non-Employee Directors’ Stock Option Plan

On March 10, 2004, the Board of Directors adopted, and, on June 9, 2004, the stockholders approved the 2004 Non-Employee Directors’ Stock Option Plan (the “2004 Plan”). Under the 2004 Plan, each non-employee director was granted a stock option to purchase 10,000 shares of common stock at an exercise price equal to the fair market value of the common stock on June 9, 2004. In

addition, each new non-employee director, on the date of his or her election to the Board of Directors (whether elected by the stockholders or the Board of Directors), automatically is granted a stock option to purchase 10,000 shares of common stock at an exercise price equal to the fair market value of the common stock on the date of grant. Twenty percent of those option shares become exercisable on each of the first through the fifth anniversaries of the date of grant. The 2004 Plan also provides for the automatic additional grant to each non-employee director of stock options to purchase 10,000 shares of common stock each time the non-employee director is re-elected to the Board of Directors. One-third of those option shares become exercisable on each of the first through the third anniversaries of the date of grant. The term of options granted under the 2004 Plan is 10 years. Non-employee directors are not eligible to participate in any other stock option or similar plans currently maintained by Newpark. The purpose of the 2004 Plan is to promote an increased incentive and personal interest in the welfare of Newpark by those individuals who are primarily responsible for shaping the long-range plans of Newpark, to assist Newpark in attracting and retaining on the Board of Directors persons of exceptional competence and to provide additional incentives to serve as a director of Newpark. The 2004 Plan superseded the 1993 Non-Employee Directors' Stock Option Plan.

On September 12, 2006, the Compensation Committee approved an amendment to the 2004 Plan. As amended, the 2004 Plan provides that the purchase price of shares of Common Stock subject to each stock option granted under the 2004 Plan will be equal to the fair market value of those shares on the date of grant, which will be equal to the closing price of the Common Stock for the day on which the option is granted (or the last trading day in the case of options granted on a non-trading day). On September 15, 2006, the Compensation Committee approved another amendment to the 2004 Plan to clarify the provision set forth in the last sentence of Section 4.2 of the 2004 Plan. As amended, this provision requires that, if no annual meeting of stockholders (or stockholder action in lieu of a meeting) occurs in any calendar year, and a non-employee director eligible to receive a stock option grant under the 2004 Plan remains a non-employee director as of the end of that calendar year, then that non-employee director will receive a stock option grant pursuant to Section 4.2 of the 2004 Plan on the last business day of the same calendar year, subject to the terms and conditions of the 2004 Plan.

2003 Long-Term Incentive Plan

On March 12, 2003, the Board of Directors adopted the 2003 Long Term Incentive Plan (the "2003 Plan"), which was approved by the stockholders at the 2003 Annual Meeting. Under the 2003 Plan, awards of share equivalents are made at the beginning of overlapping three-year performance periods. These awards vest and become payable in Newpark common stock if certain performance criteria are met over the three-year performance period. During the nine months ended September 30, 2006, no awards of share equivalents were made under the 2003 Plan.

Subject to adjustment upon a stock split, stock dividend or other recapitalization event, the maximum number of shares of common stock that may be issued under the 2003 Plan is 1,000,000. The common stock issued under the 2003 Plan will be from authorized but unissued shares of Newpark's common stock, although shares issued under the 2003 Plan that are reacquired by Newpark due to a forfeiture or any other reason may again be issued under the 2003 Plan. The maximum number of shares of common stock that may be granted to any one eligible employee during any calendar year is 50,000.

The business criteria that the Compensation Committee may use to set the performance objectives for awards under the 2003 Plan include the following: total stockholder return, return on equity, growth in earnings per share, profits and/or return on capital within a particular business unit, regulatory compliance metrics, including worker safety measures, and other criteria that the Compensation Committee may from time to time determine. The performance criteria may be stated relative to other companies in the oil service sector industry group.

Table of Contents

The Compensation Committee determined that the performance criteria are (i) Newport's annualized total stockholder return compared to its peers in the PHLX Oil Service Sectorsm (OSXsm) industry group index published by the Philadelphia Stock Exchange and (ii) Newport's average return on equity over the three-year period. Partial vesting occurs when Newport's performance achieves "expected" levels, and full vesting occurs if Newport's performance is at the "over-achievement" level for both performance measures, in each case measured over the entire three-year performance period. No shares vest if Newport's performance level is below the "expected" level, and straight-line interpolation will be used to determine vesting if performance is between "expected" and "over-achievement" levels. The following performance levels were adopted and apply to all awards granted under the 2003 Plan from inception through 2005:

	Annualized Total Stockholder Return (50%)	Average Return on Equity (50%)	Portion of Contingent Award Vested
Expected level	50 th percentile of OSX sm industry group	8%	20%
Over-achievement level	75 th percentile of OSX sm industry group	14%	100%

Pursuant to FAS 123(R), the awards subject to the annualized total stockholder return criterion contain a market condition and the awards subject to the average return on equity contain a performance condition. The fair value of the awards subject to a market condition was calculated using Monte Carlo simulation.

During the nine months ended September 30, 2006, Newport awarded 375,000 stock options and 200,000 time-restricted shares to its new chief executive officer as an inducement to accept employment. The stock options vest ratably over three years and the time restricted shares vest ratably over five years. Also, during the nine months ended September 30, 2006, Newport awarded 25,000 options to its new president of the mat and integrated services division as an inducement to accept employment. These stock options vest ratably over three years. In addition, during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006, Newport awarded 10,000 stock options to a new director under the 2004 Plan. The stock options were granted on the date of hire/appointment with an exercise price equal to the fair value of the underlying stock on the date of grant.

The fair value of options granted was estimated on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model, with the following weighted average assumptions:

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2006	2005	2006	2005
Risk-free interest rate	4.73%	4.22%	4.69%	3.94%
Expected life of the option in years	4.85	4.00	4.85	4.00
Expected volatility	51.5%	70.8%	51.9%	72.0%
Dividend yield	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

The risk-free interest rate is based on the implied yield on a U.S. Treasury zero-coupon issue with a remaining term equal to the expected term of the option. The expected life of the option is based on observed historical patterns. The expected volatility is based on historical volatility of the price of Newport's common stock. The dividend yield is based on the projected annual dividend payment per share divided by the stock price at the date of grant, which is zero because Newport has not paid dividends for several years and does not expect to pay dividends in the foreseeable future.

The following table summarizes activity for Newport's outstanding stock options for the nine

[Table of Contents](#)

months ended September 30, 2006:

	Shares	Weighted-Average Exercise Price	Weighted-Average Remaining Contractual Life (Years)	Aggregate Intrinsic Value
Outstanding at beginning of period	4,474,031	\$ 6.31		
Granted	410,000	7.87		
Exercised	(765,776)	5.50		
Expired or canceled	(584,563)	6.52		
Outstanding at end of period	<u>3,533,692</u>	<u>6.64</u>	<u>3.62</u>	<u>\$ 671,000</u>
Options exercisable at end of period	<u>2,842,578</u>	<u>6.51</u>	<u>2.94</u>	<u>\$ 643,000</u>

During the three- and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2006, the weighted-average grant date fair value of options granted was \$2.52 and \$3.90, respectively. During the three- and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2005, the weighted-average grant date fair value of options granted was \$4.79 and \$3.57, respectively. During the three- and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2006, the total intrinsic value of options exercised was \$23,000 and \$2,427,000, respectively. During the three- and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2005, the total intrinsic value of options exercised was \$1,574,000 and \$2,114,000, respectively.

The following table summarizes activity for Newport's outstanding nonvested stock awards for the nine months ended September 30, 2006:

	Shares	Weighted-Average Grant Date Fair Value
Outstanding at beginning of period	782,333	\$4.43
Granted	200,000	8.08
Vested	(133,333)	4.47
Forfeited	(230,000)	3.97
Outstanding at end of period	<u>619,000</u>	<u>\$5.77</u>

As of September 30, 2006, Newport's compensation cost related to nonvested awards not yet recognized totaled approximately \$2,163,000, which is expected to be recognized over a weighted average period of 3.73 years. The total fair value of shares vested during the nine months ended September 30, 2006 was \$1,094,000. The total fair value of shares vested during the nine months ended September 30, 2005 was \$197,000. During the nine months ended September 30, 2005, Newport granted 354,500 shares of nonvested stock with a weighted-average grant date fair value of \$4.70.

Cash received from option exercises during the nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005 was \$4,214,000 and \$4,401,000, respectively. Newport recognized tax benefits resulting from excess tax deductions related to the exercise of stock options and the vesting of share awards during the nine months ended September 30, 2006 which totaled \$640,000.

Pursuant to the adoption of FAS 123(R), during the three- and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2006, Newport recognized total stock-based compensation expense of \$578,000 and \$1,711,000, respectively, and an associated tax benefit of \$202,000 and \$598,000, respectively. The impact of adopting FAS 123(R) included in these amounts was expense of \$414,000 and \$1,254,000 and associated tax benefits of \$145,000 and \$439,000 during the three- and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2006, respectively.

During the three- and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2005, Newport applied APB 25 in accounting for its stock-based compensation plans and, therefore, compensation cost was

[Table of Contents](#)

recognized for stock options only when the exercise price of the stock option granted was less than the fair value of the underlying stock on the measurement date. Prior to the adoption of FAS 123(R), Newport accounted for awards under the 2003 Plan using variable accounting under APB 25 and related interpretations. Based on Newport's performance as compared to the performance levels listed above, no expense was accrued under the 2003 Plan for the three- and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2005. Had compensation costs for all of Newport's stock-based compensation plans been determined based on the fair value at the grant dates for awards under those plans consistent with the method of FAS 123, "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation," Newport's net income and net income per share would have been the pro forma amounts shown below for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2005 (unaudited; in thousands, except for per share data):

(In thousands, except per share data)	Three Months Ended September 30, 2005 (Restated)	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2005 (Restated)
Income applicable to common and common equivalent shares:		
As reported	\$ 5,213	\$ 15,267
Add recorded stock-based compensation expense, net of related taxes	130	359
Deduct stock-based compensation expense determined under fair value based method for all awards, net of related taxes	(342)	(888)
Pro forma income	<u>\$ 5,001</u>	<u>\$ 14,738</u>
Earnings per share:		
Basic:		
As reported	<u>\$ 0.06</u>	<u>\$ 0.18</u>
Proforma	<u>\$ 0.06</u>	<u>\$ 0.17</u>
Diluted:		
As reported	<u>\$ 0.06</u>	<u>\$ 0.18</u>
Proforma	<u>\$ 0.06</u>	<u>\$ 0.17</u>

During the year ended December 31, 2004, Newport modified the terms of non-director and non-executive officer stock options to accelerate the vesting of out-of-the-money options. This resulted in a decrease of approximately \$177,000 and \$661,000, respectively, in the pro forma after-tax expense that otherwise would have been reported for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2005 presented above.

[Table of Contents](#)**Note 3 — Earnings per Share**

The following table presents the reconciliation of the numerator and denominator for calculating income (loss) per share in accordance with the disclosure requirements of FAS 128:

(In thousands, except per share amounts)	Three Months Ended September 30,	
	2006	2005 (Restated)
(Loss) income applicable to common and common equivalent shares	<u>\$ (2,265)</u>	<u>\$ 5,213</u>
Weighted average number of common shares outstanding	89,417	87,147
Add:		
Net effect of dilutive stock options, warrants and restricted stock (1)	<u>—</u>	<u>793</u>
Adjusted weighted average number of common shares Outstanding	<u>89,417</u>	<u>87,940</u>
Basic and diluted (loss) income applicable to common and common equivalent shares	<u>\$ (0.03)</u>	<u>\$ 0.06</u>

(1) Incremental shares of 241 related to stock options, warrants and restricted stock are not included because the effect would be anti-dilutive for the three months ended September 30, 2006.

(In thousands, except per share amounts)	Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2006	2005 (Restated)
Income applicable to common and common equivalent shares	<u>\$ 9,843</u>	<u>\$ 15,267</u>
Weighted average number of common shares outstanding	89,281	85,330
Add:		
Net effect of dilutive stock options, warrants and restricted stock	<u>591</u>	<u>467</u>
Adjusted weighted average number of common shares outstanding	<u>89,872</u>	<u>85,797</u>
Basic and diluted income applicable to common and common equivalent shares	<u>\$ 0.11</u>	<u>\$ 0.18</u>

Basic net income per share was calculated by dividing net income by the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding during the period. For the nine months ended September 30, 2006, Newpark had dilutive stock options and warrants of approximately 2.2 million shares which were assumed to be exercised using the treasury stock method. For the three months and nine months ended September 30, 2005, Newpark had dilutive stock options and warrants of approximately 4.6 million shares and 3.4 million shares, respectively, which were assumed to be exercised using the treasury stock method. The resulting net effects of stock options and warrants were used in calculating diluted income per share for these periods.

Options and warrants to purchase a total of approximately 5.9 million shares and 4.0 million shares, respectively, of common stock were outstanding during the three months and nine months ended September 30, 2006, but were not included in the computation of diluted income per share because they were anti-dilutive. Options and warrants to purchase a total of approximately 4.7 million shares and 6.0 million shares of common stock were outstanding during the three months

[Table of Contents](#)

and nine months ended September 30, 2005, respectively, but were not included in the computation of diluted income per share because they were anti-dilutive.

For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2005, the net effects of the assumed conversion of preferred stock was excluded from the computation of diluted income per share for all periods presented because the effect was anti-dilutive.

Note 4 — Accounts Receivable

Included in accounts receivable at September 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005 are:

(In thousands)	September 30, 2006	December 31, 2005
Trade receivables	\$ 137,584	\$ 113,516
Unbilled revenues	26,220	24,462
Gross trade receivables	163,804	137,978
Allowance for doubtful accounts	(1,706)	(804)
Net trade receivables	<u>\$ 162,098</u>	<u>\$ 137,174</u>

Note 5 — Inventory

Newpark's inventory consisted of the following items at September 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005:

(In thousands)	September 30, 2006	December 31, 2005
Finished goods:		
Composite mats	\$ 15,951	\$ 10,030
Raw materials and components:		
Drilling fluids raw material and components	89,787	69,621
Logs	5,004	6,084
Supplies and other	2,653	2,996
Total raw materials and components	97,444	78,701
Total inventory	<u>\$ 113,395</u>	<u>\$ 88,731</u>

Note 6 — Impairment of Long-Lived Assets

On August 24, 2006, Newpark's management, with the approval of the Executive Committee of the Board of Directors of Newpark, determined to shut down the operations of Newpark Environmental Water Solutions, LLC, or NEWS, and to dispose of or redeploy all of the assets used in connection with its operations. NEWS was formed in early 2005 to commercialize in the United States and Canada a proprietary and patented water treatment technology owned by a Mexican company. In connection with the shut-down, Newpark recognized in the third quarter of 2006 a non-cash pre-tax impairment charge of approximately \$17.8 million against the assets attributable to the water treatment business. This estimated impairment charge relates to the write-down of investments in property, plant and equipment of approximately \$15.8 million and advances and other capitalized costs associated with certain agreements of approximately \$2.0 million which is recorded in the environmental services segment.

In addition, Newpark currently expects to incur pre-tax cash charges for severance and other exit costs in the range of \$4.0 million to \$4.5 million, including severance costs of approximately \$500,000 and site closure costs of approximately \$3.5 million to \$4.0 million, which will be expensed

[Table of Contents](#)

as incurred, with the majority of these costs expected to be incurred in 2006 and 2007. Newpark expensed \$440,000 in the quarter ended September 30, 2006 in severance and other exit costs related to NEWS.

The reasons for this action include the following:

- following continued negotiations, in late July 2006, Newpark's conclusion that a satisfactory agreement with the owners of the technology could not be reached,
- receipt of a report from outside consultants in August 2006 regarding the evaluation of the water treatment market and the technology,
- difficulty in utilizing the technology on a consistently reliable basis,
- losses incurred by NEWS to date, and
- the prospect that the business will incur substantial future losses due to the inability to re-negotiate a disposal contract for the Gillette, Wyoming, facility in August 2006 and recent receipt of waste streams that have become increasingly more costly to process.

By shutting down the operations of NEWS at this time, Newpark believes that it will avoid substantial future losses and negative operating cash flows related to this business, once all exit costs are incurred. The operating loss for NEWS during the first nine months of 2006 was approximately \$3.4 million.

In September 2006, Newpark started to shut down the facilities and will start the site closure process as soon as all existing projects have been completed. In addition, Newpark has begun the process of exploring possible sale of existing land, equipment and facilities.

Note 7 — Commitments and Contingencies

Effect of Hurricanes Katrina and Rita

During late August and early September 2005, Newpark's fluids systems and engineering and environmental services operations along the U.S. Gulf Coast were affected by Hurricanes Katrina and Rita. During the nine months ended September 30, 2006, Newpark recorded additional costs totaling approximately \$877,000, as a direct result of the storms, which were fully reimbursable by Newpark's insurers. During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006, Newpark recorded recoveries related to prior year business interruption coverage of \$4.2 million and \$5.2 million, respectively, as reductions to cost of revenues. For the nine months ended September 30, 2006, Newpark received insurance proceeds of \$12.5 million (including \$3.5 million in reimbursement of losses on property, plant and equipment). As of September 30, 2006, Newpark had collected substantially all insurance recoveries from its insurers.

Legal Proceedings

Between April 21, 2006 and May 9, 2006, five lawsuits asserting claims against Newpark for violation of Section 10(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act"), and SEC Rule 10b-5 were filed in the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Louisiana: *Kim vs. Newpark Resources, Inc. (the "Kim Suit")*; *Lowry vs. Newpark Resources, Inc.*; *Galchutt vs. Newpark Resources, Inc.*; *Wallace vs. Newpark Resources, Inc.*; and *Farr vs. Newpark Resources, Inc.* Additionally, all five complaints assert that James D. Cole, Newpark's former Chief Executive Officer, and Matthew W. Hardey, Newpark's former Chief Financial Officer, are liable for Newpark's violations as control persons under Section 20(a) of the Exchange Act. The latter four lawsuits have been transferred to the judge presiding over the Kim Suit who has consolidated all five actions as *In re: Newpark Resources, Inc. Securities Litigation*. The judge has set a deadline for the lead plaintiff's

[Table of Contents](#)

counsel to file an amended, consolidated class action complaint by November 10, 2006.

The complaints, asserting unspecified damages, allege that Newpark's April 17, 2006 press release concerning the internal investigation into potential irregularities in the processing and payment of invoices at one of its subsidiaries, Soloco Texas, LP ("Soloco"), establishes that Newpark misrepresented or omitted to disclose to the investing public irregularities in the processing and payment of invoices at Soloco and a lack of internal controls and flawed accounting practices and, consequently, that Newpark did not prepare its consolidated financial statements according to generally accepted accounting principles.

On August 17, 2006, a shareholder derivative action was filed in the 24th Judicial District Court for the Parish of Jefferson, captioned: *Victor Dijour, Derivatively on Behalf of Nominal Defendant Newpark Resources, Inc., v. James D. Cole, et al.* This action was brought allegedly for the benefit of Newpark which is sued as a nominal defendant, against Messrs. Cole, Hardey, William Thomas Ballantine, Newpark's former Chief Operating Officer, President and Director; and directors David P. Hunt, Alan J. Kaufman, Roger C. Stull and James H. Stone. The plaintiffs allege improper granting, recording and accounting of backdated grants of Newpark's stock options to its executives from 1994 to 2000. To date, no discovery has been conducted. Newpark intends to contest vigorously the plaintiffs' right to bring this case. The plaintiffs do not seek any recovery against Newpark. Instead, they seek unspecified damages from the individual defendants on Newpark's behalf for alleged breach of fiduciary duty, and against Messrs. Cole and Hardey for alleged unjust enrichment. Pursuant to previously existing indemnification agreements, Newpark will indemnify the officer and director defendants for the fees they incur to defend themselves.

On August 28, 2006, a second shareholder derivative action was filed in the 24th Judicial District Court for the Parish of Jefferson, captioned: *James Breaux, Derivatively on Behalf of Nominal Defendant Newpark Resources, Inc., v. James D. Cole, et al.* This action was brought, allegedly for the benefit of Newpark which is sued as a nominal defendant, against Messrs. Cole, Hardey, Ballantine, and directors David P. Hunt, Alan J. Kaufman, Roger C. Stull and James H. Stone, alleging improper backdating of stock option grants to Newpark executives, improper recording and accounting of the backdated stock option grants and producing and disseminating false financial statements and other SEC filings to Newpark shareholders and the market. To date, no discovery has been conducted. Newpark intends to vigorously contest the plaintiffs' right to bring this case. Plaintiffs do not seek any recovery against Newpark. Instead, they seek unspecified damages from the individual defendants on behalf of Newpark for alleged breach of fiduciary duty, and against Messrs. Cole, Hardey and Ballantine for alleged unjust enrichment. Pursuant to previously existing indemnification agreements, Newpark will indemnify the officer and director defendants for the fees they incur to defend themselves.

On October 5, 2006, a third shareholder derivative action was filed in the U. S. District Court, Eastern District of Louisiana, captioned: *Vincent Pomponi, Derivatively on Behalf of Newpark Resources, Inc., v. James D. Cole, et al.* On October 6, 2006, a fourth derivative action was filed in the U.S. District Court, Eastern District of Louisiana, captioned: *David Galchutt, Derivatively on Behalf of Newpark Resources, Inc., v. James D. Cole, et al.* These complaints are virtually identical and were brought, allegedly for the benefit of Newpark which is sued as a nominal defendant, against Messrs. Cole and Hardey ("Officer Defendants"), current and previous directors Hunt, Kaufman, Stone, Stull, Jerry W. Box, F. Walker Tucei, Jr., Garry L. Warren, Ballantine, Michael Still, Dibo Attar, Phillip S. Sassower, Lawrence I. Schneider and David C. Baldwin ("Director Defendants"), alleging improper financial reporting and stock option backdating of stock option grants to Newpark employees. To date, no discovery has been conducted. Newpark intends to vigorously contest the plaintiffs' right to bring these cases. Plaintiffs do not seek any recovery against Newpark. Instead, they seek unspecified damages from the Officer Defendants for alleged disorgement under the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 and alleged rescission, against Messrs. Hardy,

[Table of Contents](#)

Hunt, Kaufman, Stone, Ballantine, Still, Attar, Sassower, Schneider, and Baldwin for alleged violation of Section 14(a) of the Exchange Act, and individual defendants on behalf of Newpark for alleged unjust enrichment, breach of fiduciary duty, abuse of control, gross mismanagement, waste of corporate assets, and constructive trust. Pursuant to previously existing indemnification agreements, Newpark will indemnify the Officer and the Director Defendants for the fees they incur to defend themselves.

Newpark has retained counsel to defend its interests. Newpark has given appropriate notice under its directors' and officers' coverage to its insurance carrier, which has issued a reservation of rights letter. Management cannot predict whether these lawsuits will have a material effect on Newpark's consolidated financial position, statements of operations or cash flows.

With regard to the shareholder derivative actions referenced above, the Executive Committee of the Board of Directors has created a Special Litigation Committee to review the allegations, and the Special Litigation Committee has retained outside counsel to assist it.

In response to Newpark's announcement to shut down the operations of NEWS as disclosed in Newpark's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on August 30, 2006, on September 28, 2006, Newpark received a letter from counsel for the Mexican company demanding, among other things, that Newpark return to the Mexican company certain equipment and pay it an aggregate of \$4.0 million for the period that this equipment was utilized, technical support and administrative costs, unreimbursed costs of the equipment, and lost profits due to the Mexican company's dedication of time to Newpark's water treatment business. The Mexican company demanded payment within 30 days of the date of the letter. Newpark has responded to the Mexican company that it does not believe that it is obligated to pay any amounts to the company.

Newpark and its subsidiaries are involved in other litigation, claims and assessments on matters arising in the normal course of business. In the opinion of management, any recovery or liability in these matters should not have a material effect on Newpark's consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

Note 8 — Segment Data

Summarized financial information concerning Newpark’s reportable segments is shown in the following table:

(In thousands)	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2006	2005 (Restated)	2006	2005 (Restated)
Revenues by segment:				
Fluids systems and engineering	\$ 125,130	\$ 104,298	\$ 352,287	\$ 282,560
Mats and integrated services	26,451	21,322	95,194	82,286
Environmental services	18,561	13,523	54,257	44,846
Total revenues	\$ 170,142	\$ 139,143	\$ 501,738	\$ 409,692
Segment operating income:				
Fluids systems and engineering	\$ 20,178	\$ 12,574	\$ 45,981	\$ 28,565
Mats and integrated services	4,423	662	12,181	10,327
Environmental services	720	(160)	3,322	3,457
Total segment operating income	25,321	13,076	61,484	42,349
General and administrative expenses	5,050	2,482	13,842	7,186
Impairment loss (1)	17,804	—	17,804	—
Total operating income	\$ 2,467	\$ 10,594	\$ 29,838	\$ 35,163

The amounts above are shown net of intersegment transfers.

(1) Impairment loss is fully attributable to the environmental services segment.

Note 9 — Long-Term Debt

On August 18, 2006, Newpark entered into a term credit agreement (the “Term Credit Facility”) with certain lenders, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., as administrative agent, and Wilmington Trust Company, as collateral agent. This Term Credit Facility, in the aggregate face amount of \$150.0 million, has a five-year term and an initial interest rate of LIBOR plus 3.25%, based on Newpark’s corporate family ratings of B1 by Moody’s and B+ by Standard & Poor’s. The maturity date of the Term Credit Facility is August 18, 2011.

The Term Credit Facility requires that Newpark will enter into, and thereafter maintain, interest rate management transactions, such as interest rate swap arrangements, to the extent necessary to provide that at least 50% of the aggregate principal amount of the Term Credit Facility is subject to either a fixed interest rate or interest rate protection for a period of not less than three years. In connection with this provision, Newpark entered into an interest rate swap arrangement for the period from September 22, 2006 through March 22, 2008, which fixes the LIBOR rate applicable to 100% of the principal amount under the Term Credit Facility at 5.35%. In addition, Newpark entered into an interest rate cap arrangement that provides for a maximum LIBOR rate of 6.00% on the principal amount of \$68.9 million for the period from March 22, 2008 through September 22, 2009. Newpark paid a fee of \$170,000 for the interest rate cap arrangement.

Newpark made a draw down of the entire Term Credit Facility on September 22, 2006, and partially used it to redeem the outstanding 8 5/8% Senior Subordinated Notes (“the Notes”) in the principal amount of \$125.0 million plus accrued interest. In addition, Newpark repaid the barite facilities financing and the term portion of its current Credit Facility. The Term Credit Facility is a senior secured obligation and is secured by first liens on all of Newpark’s tangible and intangible assets, excluding accounts receivable and inventory, and by a second lien on accounts receivable and inventory. The Term Credit Facility is callable at face value, except for a 1% call premium if called at any time during the first year.

In connection with the redemption of the Notes and the payout of the other term debt, Newpark expensed the unamortized balance of debt issuance costs related to these debt instruments which totaled approximately \$838,000 in the third quarter of 2006. In addition, the prepayment of the barite facilities financing resulted in a prepayment penalty of approximately \$369,000, which also was recorded in the third quarter of 2006.

ITEM 2. Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

The following discussion of our financial condition, results of operations, liquidity and capital resources should be read together with our consolidated financial statements and Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements contained in this report as well as Amendment No. 2 to our Annual Report on Form 10-K/A for the year ended December 31, 2005.

Restatement of Previously Issued Financial Statements

As discussed more fully in Amendment No. 2 to our Annual Report on Form 10-K/A for the year ended December 31, 2005, we have restated our previously issued consolidated financial statements for the quarterly period ended September 30, 2005. This discussion and analysis should be read in conjunction with the restated consolidated financial statements and notes appearing in Item 1, Part I, of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q.

Operating Environment and Recent Developments

Our operating results depend in large measure on oil and gas drilling activity levels in the markets we serve, as well as on the depth of drilling, which governs the revenue potential of each well. These levels, in turn, depend on oil and gas commodities pricing, inventory levels and product

Table of Contents

demand. Rig count data is the most widely accepted indicator of drilling activity. Key average rig count data for the last five quarters is listed in the following table:

	3Q05	4Q05	1Q06	2Q06	3Q06
U.S. rig count	1,428	1,478	1,521	1,635	1,721
Canadian rig count	494	572	661	292	490

Derived from Baker Hughes Incorporated

Our markets include: (1) the U.S. Gulf Coast market; (2) the U.S. central region (including the U.S. Rocky Mountain region, Oklahoma and West Texas); (3) Canada; (4) areas surrounding the Mediterranean Sea and Eastern Europe; and (5) Mexico. Over the last several years the percentage of U.S. Gulf Coast revenues to our total revenues has declined as a result of relatively flat U.S. Gulf Coast market activity as compared to increases in other market activity and our strategy to diversify our revenue base.

In the third quarter of 2005, all of our U.S. Gulf Coast operations were impacted by severe weather and several of our drilling systems and engineering and environmental services facilities sustained significant damage as a result of Hurricanes Katrina and Rita. These facilities primarily were located in Venice and Cameron, Louisiana. All facilities currently have the capacity to operate at or near pre-storm levels. The recovery of offshore activity since Hurricanes Katrina and Rita has been slow, but current levels of activity are beginning to approximate pre-storm levels.

Recent Product Developments

Over the last several years we have developed a number of new products and product enhancements in each of our business segments. We have invested a significant amount of financial and human resources in developing these new products. We believe that these investments will be a key driver in our anticipated growth in 2006.

Fluid Systems and Engineering. We continue to develop a position in the drilling fluids market by drawing upon increasing acceptance of our proprietary DeepDrill® and FlexDrill™ technologies to expand our customer base. We also have deployed our NewPhase™ product, a component of our water-based product line, which is used to create high performance fluid systems tailored to the drilling problems created by the reactive shale strata encountered in the Mid-Continent region. We believe that certain of these new products improve the economics of the drilling process and will make it easier for our customers to comply with increasingly strict environmental regulations affecting their drilling operations. Based on customer acceptance of our technology and service capability, we anticipate introducing these products and services in several additional foreign markets. In October 2005, we announced the execution of a memorandum of understanding to form a new company that will provide drilling fluids products and services in Brazil, in partnership with a well-established Brazilian company.

Mat and Integrated Services. We continue to develop the worldwide market for our Dura-Base™ composite mat system. Our marketing efforts for this product remain focused in eight principal markets, including Canada, the Arctic, Russia, the Middle East, South America, Mexico, Indonesia and the U.S. utilities markets. We have completed sales in all of these markets. We now are implementing several improvements to that product family based on our experience with rental and sales of this product. We believe these mats also have worldwide applications outside our traditional oilfield market, primarily in infrastructure construction, particularly for maintenance and upgrades of electric utility transmission lines, and as temporary roads for movement of oversized or unusually heavy loads.

In addition, we continue marketing the Bravo™ mat system, a unit that weighs

Table of Contents

approximately 50 pounds and can be installed readily by an individual without the need for mechanical assistance. This new mat system has been designed specifically for temporary surfaces at events, walkways, tent flooring and similar applications that call for a lightweight, readily moveable product.

Environmental Services. On August 24, 2006, our management, with the approval of the Executive Committee of our Board of Directors, determined to shut down the operations of Newpark Environmental Water Solutions, LLC, or NEWS, and to dispose of or redeploy all of the assets used in connection with its operations. NEWS was formed in early 2005 to commercialize in the United States and Canada a proprietary and patented water treatment technology owned by a Mexican company. In connection with the shut-down, we recognized, in the quarter ended September 30, 2006, a non-cash pre-tax impairment charge of approximately \$17.8 million against the assets attributable to the water treatment business. This estimated impairment charge relates to the write-down of investments in property, plant and equipment of approximately \$15.8 million and advances and other capitalized costs associated with certain agreements of approximately \$2.0 million which is recorded in the environmental services segment.

In addition, we currently expect to incur pre-tax cash charges for severance and other exit costs in the range of \$4.0 million to \$4.5 million, including severance costs of approximately \$500,000 and site closure costs of approximately \$3.5 million to \$4.0 million, which will be expensed as incurred, with the majority of these costs expected to be incurred in 2006 and 2007. We expensed \$440,000 in the quarter ended September 30, 2006 in severance and other exit costs related to NEWS.

The reasons for this action include the following:

- following continued negotiations in late July 2006, our conclusion that a satisfactory agreement with the owners of the technology could not be reached,
- receipt of a report from outside consultants in August 2006 regarding the evaluation of the water treatment market and the technology,
- difficulty in utilizing the technology on a consistently reliable basis,
- losses incurred by NEWS to date, and
- the prospect that the business will incur substantial future losses due to the inability to re-negotiate a disposal contract for the Gillette, Wyoming, facility in August 2006 and recent receipt of waste streams that have become increasingly more costly to process.

By shutting down the operations of NEWS at this time, we believe that we will avoid substantial future losses and negative operating cash flows related to this business, once all exit costs are incurred. The operating loss for NEWS during the first nine months of 2006 was approximately \$3.4 million.

In September 2006, we started to shutdown the facilities and will start the site closure process as soon as all existing projects have been completed. In addition, we have begun the process of exploring possible sale of existing equipment and facilities.

Other Market Trends

Current long-term industry analyses forecast difficulty in meeting anticipated growing demand for natural gas. In addition, current gas reserves are being depleted at a rate faster than they are being replaced through current drilling activities. Many shallow fields in the U.S. Gulf Coast market have been heavily exploited. Improved economics and technology have increased the

Table of Contents

interest of producers to drill at greater depths to reach the larger gas reserves. This trend is limited by the availability of rigs of adequate capacity to reach these deeper objectives.

In other areas, including the Mid-Continent and the Rockies, deep shales and other hard rock formations of limited permeability are being exploited with advanced fracture stimulation technology that facilitates production of natural gas from these formations. We provide drilling fluids systems that accelerate penetration of these formations, thus reducing total well cost.

We expect that increases in natural gas drilling activity increasingly will be associated with deeper, more costly wells. We view this trend as favorable to demand for our product offerings in all of our segments.

Current short-term industry forecasts suggest a slight increase in the number of rigs active in our primary U.S. Gulf Coast market, due in large part to the restored production capacity from the major disruptions caused by Hurricanes Katrina and Rita in the U.S. Gulf Coast. We anticipate continued revenue growth in the markets we serve, driven by market share gains in critical, deep water and geologically deeper wells which generate higher levels of revenue per well. This market penetration is the result of our performance and continued success of our new products, including our DeepDrill® and FlexDrill™ families of products.

Current short-term industry analyses forecast oil prices to increase from the current levels as the winter season approaches. Total petroleum demand in the United States is not expected to vary, and has not varied, much in 2006 as compared to 2005. The long-term forecast for oil prices and demand is consistent with the short-term forecast.

Critical Accounting Policies

Our consolidated financial statements are prepared in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles, which requires us to make assumptions, estimates and judgments that affect the amounts reported. We periodically evaluate our estimates and judgments related to uncollectible accounts and notes receivable, customer returns, reserves for obsolete and slow moving inventory, impairments of long-lived assets, including goodwill and other intangibles and our valuation allowance for deferred tax assets. Our estimates are based on historical experience and on our future expectations that we believe to be reasonable. The combination of these factors forms the basis for making judgments about the carrying values of assets and liabilities that are not readily apparent from other sources. Actual results may differ from our current estimates and those differences may be material.

For additional discussion of our critical accounting policies, see "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" included in Amendment No. 2 to our Annual Report on Form 10-K/A for the year ended December 31, 2005. Our critical accounting policies have not changed materially since December 31, 2005, except for the adoption of Financial Accounting Standards No. 123 (revised 2004), "Share-Based Payment," which we refer to as FAS 123(R), as discussed below.

See Note 1 to our unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements included in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for information on new accounting standards.

Stock-Based Compensation

Effective January 1, 2006, we adopted FAS 123(R) using a modified prospective method of application. FAS 123(R) requires that all share-based payments to employees, including grants of employee stock options, be recognized in the income statement based on their fair values. Pro forma disclosure is no longer an alternative. We historically have used the Black-Scholes option-pricing model for measuring the fair value of stock options granted for disclosure purposes prior to adoption of FAS 123(R) and are continuing to use this model after adoption of FAS 123(R).

Table of Contents

Under the provisions of FAS 123(R) and using the modified prospective application method, we recognize stock-based compensation based on the grant date fair value, net of an estimated forfeiture rate, for all share-based awards granted after December 31, 2005 and granted prior to, but not yet vested as of, December 31, 2005. We recognize this expense on a straight-line basis over the requisite service periods of the awards, which is generally equivalent to the vesting term. Under the modified prospective application, the results of prior periods are not restated. Prior to January 1, 2006, we accounted for stock-based compensation using the intrinsic value method under Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 25, which we refer to as APB 25, and related interpretations. Under APB 25, we generally recognized compensation cost for a stock option only when the exercise price of an employee stock option was less than the fair value of the underlying stock on the measurement date.

Pursuant to the adoption of FAS 123(R) for the three months ended September 30, 2006, we recorded stock-based compensation expense totaling \$578,000, consisting of \$294,000 related to stock options and \$284,000 related to nonvested stock awards. For the nine months ended September 30, 2006, we recorded stock-based compensation expense totaling \$1.7 million, consisting of \$897,000 related to stock options and \$814,000 related to nonvested stock awards. For the three months ended September 30, 2005, we recorded stock-based compensation totaling \$200,000 consisting of \$55,000 related to stock options and \$145,000 related to nonvested stock awards. For the nine months ended September 30, 2005, we recorded stock-based compensation totaling \$552,000 consisting of \$163,000 related to stock options and \$389,000 related to nonvested stock awards. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005, the impact on both basic and diluted earnings per share of recognized stock-based compensation expense was no more than \$0.01 per share.

In our pro forma disclosures for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2005, we reported after-tax stock-based compensation expense of \$342,000 and \$888,000, respectively. During the year ended December 31, 2004, we modified the terms of non-director and non-executive officer stock options to accelerate the vesting of out-of-the-money options. This resulted in a decrease of approximately \$177,000 and \$661,000, respectively, in the pro forma after-tax expense that otherwise would have been reported for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2005.

As of September 30, 2006, our compensation cost related to nonvested awards not yet recognized totaled approximately \$2.2 million which is expected to be recognized over a weighted average period of 3.73 years.

See Note 2 to our unaudited consolidated financial statements included in this report for further information on stock-based compensation.

Goodwill

In accordance with FAS No. 142, "Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets", we are required to annually test goodwill for impairment. We perform our annual impairment test as of October 31 of each year. A key element in testing goodwill for impairment is the determination of the fair value of our individual business units to which goodwill has been assigned. The determination of fair value for a business unit requires us to estimate, among other things, the future cash flows to be generated by the business unit. These estimates are subject to uncertainty as to their amount and timing which affects the estimate of fair value. The impairment test performed during the year ended December 31, 2005 indicated that no impairment of the goodwill of any business unit had occurred. However, it is possible that the estimate of fair value of one or more of our business units as of our annual assessment date may result in the need to record an impairment of goodwill of those respective business units.

[Table of Contents](#)

Results of Operations

Summarized financial information concerning our reportable segments is shown in the following table (dollars in millions):

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Increase/(Decrease)	
	2006	2005 (Restated)	\$	%
Revenues by segment:				
Fluid systems and engineering	\$125.0	\$104.3	\$20.7	20%
Mat and integrated services	26.5	21.3	5.2	24
Environmental services	18.6	13.5	5.1	38
Total revenues	\$170.1	\$139.1	\$31.0	22%
Segment Operating Income:				
Fluid systems and engineering	\$ 20.2	\$ 12.6	\$ 7.6	60%
Mat and integrated services	4.4	0.7	3.7	NM
Environmental services	0.7	(0.2)	0.9	NM
Total segment operating income	25.3	13.1	12.2	93
General and administrative expenses	5.1	2.5	2.6	104
Impairment loss (1)	17.8	—	17.8	NM
Total operating income	\$ 2.4	\$ 10.6	\$ (8.2)	(77)%

	Nine Months Ended September 30,		Increase/(Decrease)	
	2006	2005 (Restated)	\$	%
Revenues by segment:				
Fluid systems and engineering	\$352.2	\$282.6	\$69.6	25%
Mat and integrated services	95.2	82.3	12.9	16
Environmental services	54.3	44.8	9.5	21
Total revenues	\$501.7	\$409.7	\$92.0	22%
Segment operating income:				
Fluid systems and engineering	\$ 45.9	\$ 28.6	\$17.3	60%
Mat and integrated services	12.2	10.3	1.9	18
Environmental services	3.3	3.5	(0.2)	(6)
Total segment operating income	61.4	42.4	19.0	45
General and administrative expenses	13.8	7.2	6.6	92
Impairment loss (1)	17.8	—	17.8	NM
Total operating income	\$ 29.8	\$ 35.2	\$ (5.4)	(15)%

The amounts above are shown net of intersegment transfers.

NM-Not meaningful

(1) Impairment loss is fully attributable to the environmental services segment.

Table of Contents

Summarized segment operating income expressed as a percentage of segment revenue is shown in the following table:

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Increase (Decrease) %
	2006	2005 (Restated)	
Fluids systems and engineering	16.2%	12.1%	34%
Mat and integrated services	16.6%	3.3%	NM
Environmental services	3.8%	(1.5)%	NM

NM-Not meaningful

	Nine Months Ended September 30,		Increase (Decrease) %
	2006	2005 (Restated)	
Fluids systems and engineering	13.0%	10.1%	29%
Mat and integrated services	12.8%	12.5%	2%
Environmental services	6.1%	7.8%	(22)%

Quarter Ended September 30, 2006 Compared to Quarter Ended September 30, 2005

Fluids Systems and Engineering

Revenues

Total revenue by region for this segment was as follows for the three months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005 (dollars in millions):

	2006	2005	2006 vs. 2005	
			\$	%
Drilling fluid sales and engineering:				
North America	\$ 80.7	\$ 70.4	\$10.3	15%
Mediterranean and South America	15.2	11.1	4.1	37
Total drilling fluid sales and engineering	95.9	81.5	14.4	18
Other	29.2	22.8	6.4	28
Total	\$125.1	\$104.3	\$20.8	20%

For the third quarter ended September 30, 2006, segment revenues increased 20% to \$125.1 million as compared to \$104.3 million reported for the third quarter of 2005.

While the overall North American market rig activity increased 15% from the third quarter of 2005 to the third quarter 2006, the average number of North American rigs serviced by this segment, namely the U.S. Gulf Coast, U.S. Central Region and Canada, decreased by 2% for the same period. Average revenue per rig, an indication of the complexity and depth of wells being serviced, increased 19% during the third quarter of 2006, as compared to the third quarter of 2005. The increase in rig activity is primarily due to the disruption of operations in the third quarter of 2005 related to the active hurricane season. The combined change in rig activity, market share and revenue per rig drove a 15% increase in fluids sales and engineering revenues in North America for the quarter ended September 30, 2006, as compared to the third quarter of 2005.

Table of Contents

In addition to increases in North America, the fluids systems and engineering segment's Mediterranean and South American operations are beginning to improve as a result of continued focus on technology and performance. These operations increased 37% in the third quarter of 2006, as compared to the third quarter of 2005. We anticipate 40% revenue growth for 2006 within the Mediterranean and South American units over 2005 revenues results.

Other revenue includes revenue generated from completion fluids, rentals, transportation and industrial minerals and represented approximately 23% of the segment's revenues in the third quarter of 2006. For the quarter ended September 30, 2006, revenue for these units increased 28% compared to the same quarter in 2005. These revenue increases were primarily driven by completion fluids due to increased investment in this business as well as increased market share and higher well completion activity.

Operating Income

Operating income for the segment increased \$7.6 million in the third quarter of 2006 as compared to the same period in 2005. Operating margin of 16.2% was realized in the third quarter 2006 as compared to 12.1% (restated) in the same quarter of 2005. The increase in operating margin was principally attributable to final settlement of our business interruption insurance coverage related to losses incurred as a result of Hurricanes Katrina and Rita totaling \$3.5 million which was recorded as a reduction of cost of revenues. Gross margins, after adjustment for the business interruption insurance, were 13.4%, a slight improvement over 2005. Cost increases related to products, services, personnel and transportation, have had an impact on the incremental operating margin growth.

Mat and Integrated Services

Revenues

Total revenue for this segment consists of the following for the three months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005 (dollars in millions):

	2006	2005	2006 vs. 2005	
			\$	%
Installation	\$ 4.8	\$ 2.5	\$ 2.3	92%
Re-rental	4.2	2.0	2.2	110
Total U.S. oilfield mat rental	9.0	4.5	4.5	100
Non-oilfield mat rental	—	0.1	(0.1)	(100)
Canadian mat sales	0.6	0.4	0.2	50
Composite mat sales	3.8	4.9	(1.1)	(22)
Integrated services and other	13.1	11.4	1.7	15
Total	\$26.5	\$21.3	\$ 5.2	24%

Our U.S. oilfield mat rentals increased to \$9.0 million in the third quarter of 2006. U.S. oilfield mat rental volume for the third quarter of 2006 totaled 5.3 million square feet as compared to 2.5 million square feet in the third quarter of 2005. Average price per square foot decreased 17% from the third quarter of 2005.

Sales of wooden mats, typically a lower margin business, account for a majority of Canadian mat sales. Revenues increased slightly to \$600,000 due to a continued increase in demand for our wooden mats in the western Canadian market.

During the third quarter of 2006, we sold approximately 2,000 DuraBase™ mats and 2,800 Bravo™ mats, resulting in \$3.8 million of composite mat revenues, compared to \$4.9 million of revenue on approximately 1,200 DuraBase™ mats and 7,500 Bravo™ mats sold in the third quarter

Table of Contents

of 2005. The decrease in revenue was driven by the decrease in the volume of mats; however, revenue did not incrementally decrease with the decline in volume of mats as the Bravo™ average price per mat is significantly lower than the DuraBase™ average price per mat.

Integrated services and other revenues, our lowest-margin business unit for this segment, increased \$1.7 million in the third quarter of 2006. This business includes a comprehensive range of environmental services necessary for our customers' E&P activities. The increase was primarily driven by the increased operations of our sawmill in Batson, Texas.

Operating Income

Mat and integrated services operating income increased \$3.7 million in the third quarter of 2006 on a \$5.2 million increase in revenues, compared to the third quarter of 2005, representing an incremental margin of 71%. This incremental margin is higher than normal given that revenue increases during the period were principally associated with higher margin rental business revenues. Operating margins for the three months ended September 30, 2006 was 16.6%, as compared to 3.3% in 2005. This segment is currently focusing on improving operating margins by lowering operating costs through improvements in purchasing practices, and we believe that margins will continue to improve in the near term as a result of these efforts.

Environmental Services

Revenues

Total revenue for this segment consists of the following for the three months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005 (dollars in millions):

	2006	2005	2006 vs. 2005	
			\$	%
E&P Waste U.S. Gulf Coast	\$13.2	\$ 8.8	\$ 4.4	50%
E&P Waste Non-U.S. Gulf Coast	3.2	3.4	(0.2)	(6)
NORM & Industrial	2.2	1.3	0.9	69
Total	\$18.6	\$13.5	\$ 5.1	38

Environmental services revenue increased \$5.1 million in the third quarter of 2006 as compared to the same period in 2005. This increase was primarily due to the increase in E&P Waste U.S. Gulf Coast revenues of \$4.4 million, or 50%, on a 49% increase in waste volumes received. The increase in waste volumes is primarily due to the disruption of operations in the third quarter of 2005 related to the active hurricane season. The average revenue per barrel in the U.S. Gulf Coast market remained consistent quarter over quarter.

Operating Income

Environmental services operating income increased \$900,000 in the third quarter of 2006, on \$5.1 million increase in revenues. Operating margins increased in the third quarter of 2006 to 3.8% as compared to (1.5%) for the same period in 2005. The increase in operating margin was principally attributable to final settlement of our business interruption coverage related to losses incurred as a result of Hurricanes Katrina and Rita totaling \$624,000 which was recorded as a reduction to cost of revenues which was offset by operating losses associated with Newpark Environmental Water Solutions, LLC, or NEWS, which totaled \$1.4 million in the third quarter of 2006. Operating margin for the third quarter of 2006 adjusted for the business interruption insurance and the NEWS losses is 7.9%.

Table of Contents

General and Administrative Expense

General and administrative expense increased \$2.6 million to approximately \$5.1 million in the third quarter of 2006, compared to the same period in 2005. The increase is associated with several factors, including legal and accounting fees of approximately \$600,000 related to the internal investigation conducted by our Audit Committee and the resulting restatement of the consolidated financial statements on Amendment No. 2 to Annual Report on Form 10-K/A for the year ended December 31, 2005, as filed on October 10, 2006, an increase in stock-based compensation costs of approximately \$400,000, an increase in consulting fees of \$800,000 and increases in employee placement fees and other employee costs of approximately \$200,000. We anticipate that general and administrative expenses will be significantly higher during 2006 than in prior years, principally due to higher legal and related costs associated with the internal investigation and the class action lawsuits filed as a result of the investigation as well as increased employee placement and consulting fees.

Impairment Loss

On August 24, 2006, our management, with the approval of the Executive Committee of our Board of Directors, determined to shut down the operations of NEWS, and to dispose of or redeploy all of the assets used in connection with its operations. NEWS was formed early in 2005 to commercialize in the United States and Canada a proprietary and patented water treatment technology owned by a Mexican company. In connection with the shut-down, we recognized, in the quarter ended September 30, 2006, a non-cash pre-tax impairment charge of approximately \$17.8 million against the assets attributable to the water treatment business. This estimated impairment charge relates to the write-down of investments in property, plant and equipment of approximately \$15.8 million and advances and other capitalized costs associated with certain agreements of approximately \$2.0 million which is recorded in the environmental services segment.

In addition, we expect to incur pre-tax cash charges for severance and other exit costs in the range of \$4.0 million to \$4.5 million, including severance costs of approximately \$500,000 and site closure costs of approximately \$3.5 million to \$4.0 million, which will be expensed as incurred, with the majority of these costs expected to be incurred in 2006 and 2007. We expensed \$440,000 in the quarter ended September 30, 2006 in severance and other exit costs related to NEWS.

Foreign Currency Exchange Gains

Net foreign currency losses totaled \$149,000 in the third quarter of 2006 compared to net foreign currency gains of \$352,000 in the third quarter of 2005. The current quarter losses were primarily associated with the strengthening of the Euro against the U.S. dollar and the associated impact on short-term intercompany balances of our European operations. The prior year gains were primarily associated with weakening of the U.S. dollar against the Canadian dollar and the associated impact on short-term intercompany payable balances of our Canadian operations.

Interest Expense

Interest expense totaled \$6.2 million for the third quarter of 2006 as compared to \$4.1 million for the third quarter of 2005. The increase in interest expense was related to the prepayment penalties of approximately \$400,000 on the Barite facilities financing and \$800,000 related to the write off of the unamortized balance of debt issuance costs related to the 8 5/8% Senior Subordinated Notes. The remaining increase in interest expense is due to an increase in average debt outstanding from the third quarter of 2005.

Provision for Income Taxes

For the quarter ended September 30, 2006, we recorded an income tax benefit of \$1.5 million, reflecting an income tax rate of 39.2%. For the quarter ended September 30, 2005, we

[Table of Contents](#)

recorded an income tax provision of \$1.7 million, reflecting an income tax rate of 24.1% (restated). The lower effective rate in the third quarter of 2005 reflects the impact of favorable changes in estimates principally for certain foreign tax reserves due to favorable results of tax audits in a foreign jurisdiction.

Nine Months Ended September 30, 2006 Compared to Nine Months Ended September 30, 2005

Fluids Systems and Engineering

Revenues

Total revenue by region for this segment was as follows for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005 (dollars in millions):

	2006	2005	2006 vs. 2005	
			\$	%
Drilling fluid sales and engineering:				
North America	\$227.0	\$188.4	\$38.6	20%
Mediterranean and South America	42.3	28.9	13.4	46
Total drilling fluid sales and engineering	269.3	217.3	52.0	24
Other	82.9	65.3	17.6	27
Total	\$352.2	\$282.6	\$69.6	25%

Fluids systems and engineering revenue continues to outpace market growth in its areas of operation. For the nine months ended September 30, 2006, segment revenues increased 25% to \$352.2 million, as compared to \$282.6 million for the first nine months of 2005.

While the overall North American rig activity increased 19% for the nine months ended September 30, 2006, as compared to the same period in 2005, the average number of North American rigs serviced by this segment, namely the U.S. Gulf Coast, U.S. Central Region and Canada, increased by only 10% for the same period. The increase in rig activity is primarily due to the disruption of operations in the third quarter of 2005 related to the active hurricane season. North American drilling fluid sales and engineering revenues increased 20% to \$227.0 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2006. Market penetration in areas where new rigs are being deployed in our markets, the servicing of more complicated wells which generate higher revenues and the performance of our proprietary products were significant drivers of the revenue growth. The average number of North American rigs serviced increased by 10% for the same period. The increase in rig activity is primarily due to the disruption of operations in the third quarter of 2005 related to the active hurricane season. Average revenue per rig, an indication of the complexity and depth of wells being serviced, increased 15% as compared to the first nine months of 2005.

In addition to increases in North America, this segment's Mediterranean and South American operations are beginning to improve as a result of continued focus on technology and performance. In areas outside North America, the segment realized an increase of 46% in revenues in the first nine months of 2006, as compared to the first nine months of 2005. We anticipate 40% revenue growth within the Mediterranean and South American units over 2005 revenue results.

Other revenue in this segment includes revenue generated from completion fluids, rentals, transportation and industrial materials. These areas of operations represented approximately 24% of the segments revenues during the first nine months of 2006. For the nine months ended September 30, 2006, revenue for these units increased 27% when compared to the same period in 2005. These revenue increases were primarily driven by completion fluids due to increased investment in this business as well as increased market share and higher well completion activity.

Table of Contents

Operating Income

Operating income for this segment increased \$17.3 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2006, as compared to the same period in 2005. Operating margin of 13.0% was realized in the nine months ended September 30, 2006 as compared to 10.1% (restated) in the same period of 2005. The increase in operating margin was principally attributable to final settlement of our business interruption coverage related to losses incurred as a result of Hurricanes Katrina and Rita totaling \$3.5 million which was recorded as a reduction of cost of revenues. Gross margins, after adjustment for the business interruption insurance, were 12.0%.

Mat and Integrated Services

Revenues

Total revenue for this segment consists of the following for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005 (dollars in millions):

	2006	2005	2006 vs. 2005	
			\$	%
Installation	\$13.6	\$11.0	\$ 2.6	24%
Re-rental	8.6	7.2	1.4	19
Total U.S. oilfield mat rental	22.2	18.2	4.0	22
Non-oilfield mat rental	1.1	4.2	(3.1)	(74)
Canadian mat sales	17.4	9.5	7.9	83
Composite mat sales	16.3	16.8	(0.5)	(3)
Integrated services and other	38.2	33.6	4.6	14
Total	\$95.2	\$82.3	\$12.9	16%

U.S. oilfield mat rental volume for the first nine months of 2006 totaled 13.9 million square feet as compared to 9.9 million square feet in the first nine months of 2005. The average price per square foot decreased 12% for the first nine months of 2006, as compared to the same period in 2005. Total U.S. oilfield mat rental revenues increased by \$4.0 million in the first nine months of 2006, compared to 2005, primarily relating to an increase in larger installations in 2006.

Revenues from non-oilfield mat rentals, a premium margin market composed principally of utility and infrastructure construction markets, decreased \$3.1 million in the first nine months of 2006, compared to \$4.2 million in the first nine months of 2005. The prior year revenues included revenue from a large one-time utility job that occurred in the first quarter of 2005. We continue to believe that this market has growth opportunities due to the aging of our nation's electrical power delivery infrastructure and increased demand for electricity. However this market has a seasonal nature to it, with peak activities occurring during winter periods, when electrical power demands are lowest.

Canadian revenues, primarily related to the sales of wooden mats, increased \$7.9 million for the first nine months of 2006 as compared to the same period in 2005. This increase is due to the continued increase in demand for our wooden mats in the western Canadian market.

During the first nine months of 2006, we sold approximately 8,800 DuraBase™ mats and approximately 9,000 Bravo™ mats, resulting in \$16.3 million in composite mat revenues, compared to \$16.8 million in composite mat revenue on approximately 9,200 DuraBase™ mats and approximately 8,200 Bravo™ mats sold in the first nine months of 2005. The decrease in revenue was driven by the decrease in the volume of DuraBase™ mats sold. The revenue associated with the decreased volume of DuraBase™ mats more than offset the revenue associated with the increased

Table of Contents

volume of Bravo™ mats as the Bravo™ average price per mat is significantly lower than the DuraBase™ average price per mat.

Integrated services and other revenues, our lowest-margin business unit for this segment, increased \$4.6 million in the first nine months of 2006 as compared to the same period in 2005. This increase is primarily due to increased activity in production site maintenance and environmental services related to the rebuilding of the infrastructure after Hurricanes Katrina and Rita in the first quarter of 2006.

Operating Income

Mat and integrated services operating income increased \$1.9 million in the first nine months of 2006 on a \$12.9 million increase in revenues, compared to the first nine months of 2005. Operating margins for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 was 12.8%, as compared to 12.5% (restated) in 2005. This segment has been focusing on improving operating margins by lowering operating costs through improvements in purchasing practices and we believe that margins will continue to improve in the near term as a result of these efforts.

Environmental Services

Revenues

Total revenue for this segment consists of the following for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005 (dollars in millions):

	2006	2005	2006 vs. 2005	
			\$	%
E&P Waste U.S. Gulf Coast	\$37.3	\$30.1	\$7.2	24%
E&P Waste Non-U.S. Gulf Coast	11.2	9.8	1.4	14
NORM & Industrial	5.8	4.9	0.9	18
Total	\$54.3	\$44.8	\$9.5	21%

Environmental services revenue increased \$9.5 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2006 as compared to the same period in 2005. This increase was primarily due to the increase in U.S. Gulf Coast revenues of \$7.2 million, or 24%, on a 27% increase in waste volumes received. The increase in waste volume is primarily due to the disruption of operations in the third quarter of 2005 related to the active hurricane season. The average revenue per barrel in the U.S. Gulf Coast market decreased 2% in the first nine months of 2006 as compared to 2005 due to fewer ancillary services being sold in the offshore and onshore markets.

Operating Income

Environmental services operating income declined \$200,000 in the nine months ended September 30, 2006 on a \$9.5 million increase in revenues, compared to the same period in 2005. Operating margin was impacted positively by the final settlement of our business interruption coverage related to losses incurred as a result of Hurricanes Katrina and Rita totaling \$624,000 which was recorded as a reduction of cost of revenues. This increase was offset by operating losses associated with the NEWS business which totaled \$3.4 million in the first nine months of 2006. As discussed above, our management with the approval of the Executive Committee of our Board of Directors determined in the third quarter of 2006 to shut down the operations of NEWS, and to dispose of or redeploy all of the assets used in connection with its operations. Operating margin for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 adjusted for the business interruption insurance and the NEWS losses is 11.2%.

Table of Contents

General and Administrative Expense

General and administrative expense increased \$6.6 million to approximately \$13.8 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2006, compared to the same period in 2005. The increase is associated with several factors, including legal and accounting fees of approximately \$2.0 million related to the internal investigation conducted by our Audit Committee and the resulting restatement of the consolidated financial statements on Amendment No. 2 to Annual Report on Form 10-K/A for the year ended December 31, 2005, as filed on October 10, 2006, \$1.0 million in increased consulting fees, changes in estimates totaling approximately \$650,000 for an unfavorable franchise tax audit and a lawsuit involving the landowner of one of our former leased facilities, an increase in stock-based compensation costs of approximately \$1.0 million, increases in employee placement fees and other employee costs of approximately \$450,000 and unfavorable variances in our self-insured insurance programs of approximately \$500,000. We anticipate that general and administrative expenses will be significantly higher during 2006 than in prior years, principally due to higher legal and other related costs associated with the internal investigation and the class action lawsuits filed as a result of the investigation as well as increased employee placement and consulting fees.

Impairment Loss

On August 24, 2006, our management, with the approval of the Executive Committee of our Board of Directors, determined to shut down the operations of NEWS, and to dispose of or redeploy all of the assets used in connection with its operations. NEWS was formed in early 2005 to commercialize in the United States and Canada a proprietary and patented water treatment technology owned by a Mexican company. In connection with the shut-down, we recognized, in the quarter ended September 30, 2006, a non-cash pre-tax impairment charge of approximately \$17.8 million against the assets attributable to the water treatment business. This estimated impairment charge relates to the write-down of investments in property, plant and equipment of approximately \$15.8 million and advances and other capitalized costs associated with certain agreements of approximately \$2.0 million which is recorded in the environmental services segment.

In addition, we expect to incur pre-tax cash charges for severance and other exit costs in the range of \$4.0 million to \$4.5 million, including severance costs of approximately \$500,000 and site closure costs of approximately \$3.5 million to \$4.0 million, which will be expensed as incurred, with the majority of these costs expected to be incurred in 2006 and 2007. We expensed \$440,000 in the quarter ended September 30, 2006 in severance and other exit costs related to NEWS.

Foreign Currency Exchange Gains

Net foreign currency gains totaled \$158,000 in the nine months ended September 30, 2006 compared to net foreign currency gains of \$343,000 in the same period of 2005.

Interest Expense

Interest expense totaled \$15.2 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 as compared to \$12.4 million for the same period of 2005. The increase in interest expense was primarily related to the prepayment penalties of approximately \$400,000 on the Barite facilities financing, \$800,000 related to the unamortized balance of debt issuance costs related to the 8 5/8% Senior Subordinated Notes and the year to date loss of approximately \$675,000 on an interest rate swap arrangement for our Mediterranean operations. The remaining increase in interest expense is due to an increase in the average debt outstanding as compared to the same period of 2005.

Provision for Income Taxes

For the nine months ended September 30, 2006, we recorded an income tax provision of \$5.2 million, reflecting an income tax rate of 34.5%. For the nine months ended September 30, 2005, we

[Table of Contents](#)

recorded an income tax provision of \$7.6 million (restated), reflecting an income tax rate of 32.5% (restated).

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Our working capital position was as follows at September 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005:

	September 30, 2006	December 31, 2005
Working Capital (000's)	\$210,148	\$164,508
Current Ratio	2.99	2.47

During the first nine months of 2006, our working capital position increased by \$45.6 million. Net trade accounts receivable increased \$24.9 million during the first nine months of 2006 on a \$25.4 million increase in revenues from the fourth quarter of 2005. Inventory increased \$24.7 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2006 as compared to the same period in 2005 principally due to the increases in the price of barite as well as increased levels of barite as of September 30, 2006. For the quarter ended September 30, 2006, days sales in receivables increased by one day to 87 days, from 86 days in the fourth quarter of 2005.

We anticipate that our working capital requirements for 2006 will increase with the growth in revenue that we are experiencing. Some of the increase in working capital requirements should be offset by our continued focus on improving our collection cycle. However, we have the ability to supplement our operating cash flows with borrowings under our credit facility to fund the expected increase in working capital. We believe we have adequate capacity under our credit facility to meet these anticipated working capital needs.

Cash generated from operations during the first nine months of 2006 totaled \$7.5 million, including \$9.0 million of insurance proceeds resulting from claims associated with Hurricanes Katrina and Rita. We received additional insurance proceeds of \$3.5 million in the first nine months of 2006 for reimbursement of losses on property, plant and equipment. This cash, along with increased borrowings on lines of credit of \$17.1 million, was used principally to fund net capital expenditures of \$28.2 million. Capital expenditures within our established business segments totaled \$20.5 million, compared to \$18.9 million in depreciation. We also invested \$4.9 million in the first nine months of 2006 for acquisition of the first two water treatment systems and construction of related facilities and \$2.8 million to replace property, plant and equipment damaged by Hurricanes Rita and Katrina. We anticipate that remaining 2006 capital expenditures will approximate depreciation expense and that we will fund capital expenditures with cash generated from operations.

Table of Contents

Our long term capitalization was as follows:

(In thousands)	September 30, 2006	December 31, 2005
Long-term debt:		
Term Credit Facility	\$ 148,500	\$ —
Senior subordinated notes	—	125,000
Credit Facility-revolver	50,186	32,743
Credit Facility-term	—	5,830
Barite facilities financing	—	11,875
Loma financing	—	2,638
Other, primarily mat financing	5,933	7,847
Total long-term debt	204,619	185,933
Stockholders' equity	364,575	346,725
Total long-term capitalization	<u>\$ 569,194</u>	<u>\$ 532,658</u>
Long-term debt to long-term capitalization	<u>35.9%</u>	<u>34.9%</u>

On August 18, 2006, we entered into a term credit agreement which we refer to as the Term Credit Facility with certain lenders, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., as administrative agent, and Wilmington Trust Company, as collateral agent. This Term Credit Facility, in the aggregate face amount of \$150.0 million, has a five-year term and an initial interest rate of LIBOR plus 3.25%, based on our corporate family ratings of B1 by Moody's and B+ by Standard & Poor's. The maturity date of the Term Credit Facility is August 18, 2011.

The Term Credit Facility requires that we will enter into, and thereafter maintain, interest rate management transactions, such as interest rate swap arrangements, to the extent necessary to provide that at least 50% of the aggregate principal amount of the Term Credit Facility is subject to either a fixed interest rate or interest rate protection for a period of not less than three years. In connection with this provision, we entered into an interest rate swap arrangement for the period from September 22, 2006 through March 22, 2008, which fixes the LIBOR rate applicable to 100% of the principal amount under the Term Credit Facility at 5.35%. In addition, we entered into an interest rate cap arrangement that provides for a maximum LIBOR rate of 6.00% on the principal amount of \$68.9 million for the period from March 22, 2008 through September 22, 2009. We paid a fee of \$170,000 for the interest rate cap arrangement, which is expected to be expensed during the period covered by the arrangement.

We made a draw down of the entire Term Credit Facility on September 22, 2006, and partially used it to redeem our outstanding 8 5/8% Senior Subordinated Notes which we refer to as the Notes in the principal amount of \$125.0 million plus accrued interest. In addition, we repaid the barite facilities financing and the term portion of the current Credit Facility. The Term Credit Facility is a senior secured obligation of ours and is secured by first liens on all of our tangible and intangible assets, excluding our accounts receivable and inventory, and by a second lien on accounts receivable and inventory. The Term Credit Facility is callable at face value, except for a 1% call premium if called at any time during the first year.

In connection with the redemption of the Notes and the payout of the other term debt, we expensed the unamortized balance of debt issuance costs related to these debt instruments which totaled approximately \$838,000 in the third quarter of 2006. In addition, the prepayment of the

[Table of Contents](#)

barite facilities financing resulted in a prepayment penalty of approximately \$369,000, which also was recorded in the third quarter of 2006.

At September 30, 2006, the maximum amount we could borrow under the revolving portion of the Credit Facility was \$70.0 million. At September 30, 2006, \$6.9 million in letters of credit were issued and outstanding and \$50.2 million was outstanding under the revolving portion of the Credit Facility, leaving \$12.9 million of availability at that date. The Credit Facility bears interest at either a specified prime rate (8.25% at September 30, 2006), or the three month LIBOR rate (5.37% at September 30, 2006), in each case plus a spread determined quarterly based upon a fixed charge coverage ratio. The weighted average interest rates on the outstanding balances under the credit facilities for the three months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005 were 7.99% and 6.40%, respectively. The weighted average interest rates on the outstanding balances under the credit facilities for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005 were 7.59% and 6.36%, respectively. As discussed above, the term portion of the credit facility was paid in full on September 22, 2006. We intend to increase our short term borrowing capacity for working capital and growth purposes.

The Credit Facility contains a fixed charge coverage ratio covenant and a tangible net worth covenant. The Term Credit Facility contains a fixed charge coverage ratio covenant and a consolidated leverage ratio. As of September 30, 2006, we were in compliance with these covenants contained in these facilities. The Credit Facility also obligates us to timely deliver financial statements and a compliance certificate. As a result of our failure to file the Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q for the periods ended March 31, 2006 and June 30, 2006 in a timely manner with the Securities and Exchange Commission due to the matters described in the Explanatory Note and Note A to the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in Amendment No. 2 to our Annual Report on Form 10-K/A for the year ended December 31, 2005, we were in default on this facility. However, we had obtained waivers of this default from the lenders. Concurrent with the filing of the reports mentioned above, we are in compliance with the financial statement filing requirements of all our credit facilities. The Term Credit Facility requires us to deliver within 30 days after the close of each month certain financial statements. Also concurrent with the filing of the reports mentioned above, we will no longer be required to provide monthly financial statements; instead, we will be required to file our quarterly financial statements on a timely basis with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

The Term Credit Facility and the Credit Facility also contain covenants that significantly limit the payment of dividends on our common stock.

Ava, S.p.A, our European fluid systems and engineering subsidiary which we refer to as Ava, maintains its own credit arrangements, consisting primarily of lines of credit with several banks, with the lines renewed on an annual basis. Advances under these credit arrangements are typically based on a percentage of Ava's accounts receivable or firm contracts with certain customers. The weighted average interest rate under these arrangements was approximately 6.0% at September 30, 2006. As of September 30, 2006, Ava had a total of \$11.8 million outstanding under these facilities, including approximately \$400,000 reported in long term debt. We do not provide a corporate guaranty of Ava's debt. At September 30, 2006, Ava had an interest rate swap arrangement outstanding which fixes the interest rate applicable to \$5.1 million of its debt within a range which escalates over time. This arrangement requires annual settlements and matures in February 2015.

With respect to additional off-balance sheet liabilities, we lease most of our office and warehouse space, rolling stock and certain pieces of operating equipment under operating leases.

Except as described in the preceding paragraphs, we are not aware of any material expenditures, significant balloon payments or other payments on long-term obligations or any other demands or commitments, including off-balance sheet items to be incurred within the next 12 months. Inflation has not materially impacted our revenues or income.

ITEM 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk

We are exposed to market risk from changes in interest rates and changes in foreign currency rates. Our exposures to market risks have not changed materially from those disclosed in Item 7A of Part II of Amendment No. 2 to our Annual Report on Form 10-K/A for the year ended December 31, 2005.

Interest Rate Risk

Our policy historically has been to manage exposure to interest rate fluctuations by using a combination of fixed and variable-rate debt. At September 30, 2006, we had total debt outstanding of \$220.9 million, all of which is subject to variable rate terms.

On August 18, 2006, we entered into a Term Credit Agreement pursuant to which we obtained a Term Credit Facility in the aggregate face amount of \$150.0 million. The initial interest rate on the Term Credit Facility under this agreement is LIBOR plus 3.25%, based on our corporate family ratings of B1 by Moody's and B+ by Standard & Poor's. The Term Credit Agreement requires that we will enter into, and thereafter maintain, interest rate management transactions, such as interest rate swap arrangements, to the extent necessary to provide that at least 50% of the aggregate principal amount of the Term Credit Facility is subject to either a fixed interest rate or interest rate protection for a period of not less than three years. To satisfy this provision, we entered into an interest rate swap arrangement for the period from September 22, 2006 through March 22, 2008, which fixes the LIBOR rate applicable to 100% of the principle amount under the Term Credit Facility at 5.35%. In addition, we entered into an interest rate cap arrangement that provides for a maximum LIBOR rate of 6.00% on the principal amount of \$68.9 million for the period from March 22, 2008 through September 22, 2009. We paid a fee of \$170,000 for the interest rate cap arrangement. Through this swap arrangement, we have effectively fixed the rate on \$150.0 million, or 67.9%, of our total debt outstanding.

At September 30, 2006, Ava had an interest rate swap arrangement outstanding which fixes the interest rate applicable to \$5.1 million of its debt within a range which escalates over time. This arrangement requires annual settlements and matures in February 2015. At September 30, 2006, the fair value of this arrangement represents a liability of approximately \$675,000.

The remaining \$65.8 million of debt outstanding at September 30, 2006 bears interest at a floating rate. At September 30, 2006, the weighted average interest rate under our floating-rate debt was approximately 7.64%. A 200 basis point increase in market interest rates during 2006 would cause our annual interest expense to increase approximately \$800,000, net of taxes, resulting in a \$0.01 per diluted share reduction in annual earnings.

Foreign Currency

Our principal foreign operations are conducted in Canada and in areas surrounding the Mediterranean Sea. We have foreign currency exchange risks associated with these operations, which are conducted principally in the foreign currency of the jurisdictions in which we operate. Historically, we have not used off-balance sheet financial hedging instruments to manage foreign currency risks when we enter into a transaction denominated in a currency other than our local currencies because the dollar amount of these transactions has not warranted our using hedging instruments. However, during the quarter ended March 31, 2005, our Canadian subsidiary committed to purchase approximately \$2.0 million of barite from one of our U.S. subsidiaries and we entered into a foreign currency forward contract arrangement to reduce its exposure to foreign currency fluctuations related to this commitment. The forward contract requires that the Canadian subsidiary purchase approximately \$2.0 million U.S. dollars at a contracted exchange rate of 1.2496

Table of Contents

over a two year period. At September 30, 2006, the fair value of this forward contract represents a loss of approximately \$40,000.

Fair Value of Financial Instruments

The fair value of cash and cash equivalents, net accounts receivable, accounts payable and variable rate debt approximated book value at September 30, 2006. The fair value of the Term Credit Facility totaled \$150.6 million at September 30, 2006. The fair value of the interest rate swap and interest rate cap totaled a \$540,000 liability and a \$124,000 asset, respectively.

At September 30, 2006, Ava had an interest rate swap arrangement outstanding which fixes the interest rate applicable to \$5.1 million of its debt within a range which escalates over time. This arrangement requires annual settlements and matures in February 2015. At March 31, 2006, the fair value of this arrangement represents a liability of approximately \$675,000.

ITEM 4. Controls and Procedures

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

As further described in Note A to the Consolidated Financial Statements contained in Amendment No. 2 to our Annual Report on Form 10-K/A for the year ended December 31, 2005 filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on October 10, 2006, our current Chief Executive Officer and current Chief Financial Officer, with the participation of current management, have evaluated the effectiveness of our "disclosure controls and procedures" (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended), as of the end of the period covered by this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q.

Based on their evaluation, they have concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures as of the end of the period covered by this report are not adequate to ensure that (1) information required to be disclosed by us in the reports filed or furnished by us under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the rules and forms of the Securities and Exchange Commission and (2) the information is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. Based on that evaluation, our current Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures as of the end of the period covered by this report were not effective at reaching a reasonable level of assurance of achieving the desired objective because of the material weaknesses in our internal control over financial reporting discussed in Amendment No. 2 to our Annual Report on Form 10-K/A for the year ended December 31, 2005 filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on October 10, 2006.

Our management is committed to eliminating the material weaknesses noted above by changing our internal control over financial reporting. Management, along with our Board of Directors, has implemented, or is in the process of implementing, the following changes to our internal control over financial reporting:

1. After reviewing the results of the independent investigation, the former Chief Executive Officer and the former Chief Financial Officer were terminated for cause. The former Soloco Chief Financial Officer also was terminated. Our Board of Directors hired our current Chief Executive Officer, Paul L. Howes, on March 22, 2006, and we have recently hired a new Vice President and Chief Financial Officer, as well as a Chief Administrative Officer and General Counsel, which is a newly created position.

Table of Contents

2. Our current Chief Executive Officer, current senior management and the Board of Directors are committed to setting the proper tone regarding internal control over financial reporting and achieving transparency through effective corporate governance, a strong control environment, business standards reflected in our Code of Business Conduct and Ethics, and financial reporting and disclosure completeness and integrity. Our current Chief Executive Officer has met with all key personnel throughout the organization who have significant roles in the establishment and maintenance of internal control over financial reporting to emphasize our commitment to enhancing those controls.
 3. We are in the process of enhancing our Code of Business Conduct and Ethics to include, among other improvements, the mandate that all potential management overrides of internal controls are to be reported directly to the Chief Administrative Officer and General Counsel. We are in the process of establishing procedures to ensure that our Code of Business Conduct and Ethics and all corporate governance policies are made available to all employees and that an annual certification of adherence to these policies is obtained from all personnel considered key to our control environment.
 4. We have hired a president of the Mat and Integrated Services business segment. This new position was established to afford greater control and transparency over the individual business units operating within this business segment. This new president has hired a new controller and is currently in the process of hiring a new chief financial officer for the business segment and has been working with the current operating and financial personnel to establish the following improvements in internal control:
 - We are in the process of evaluating any inconsistencies in established internal controls among the reporting units and will modify controls to ensure consistency as appropriate.
 - We have established additional controls surrounding the purchasing of products and services, including the requirement for segregation of all purchasing, receiving and payables processing functions.
 - We have established a monthly reconciliation process for all mat purchases, whether for resale or for rental, and a quarterly physical inventory count process performed by individuals independent of the mat accounting functions. These count procedures will be reviewed by our internal audit department at least twice per year.
 5. We are in the process of enhancing our fraud hotline through the outsourcing of this hotline to an independent company.
 6. We have established a Disclosure Committee, consisting of senior management from the corporate office and significant reporting units, and outside counsel. The Disclosure Committee will meet at least quarterly and is responsible for reviewing all quarterly and annual reports prior to filing as well as deciding, as needed, disclosure issues related to current reports.
 7. We are in the process of implementing procedures with significant vendors to confirm on an annual basis that no side agreements exist with the vendor and us, our subsidiaries or employees. This confirmation process will be monitored and controlled by our internal audit department.
 8. To enhance our preventive controls related to the possibility of a circular transaction, we are in the process of implementing a policy that requires approval prior to entering into a transaction to sell products or services to an established vendor. The approval of two of our executive officers will be required if that sale transaction or series of transactions is greater than \$1 million.
-

Table of Contents

9. We are in the process of implementing a mandatory consecutive five-day vacation policy for all personnel who work in the payables or cash management departments to enhance our ability to detect and prevent circumvention of controls in these areas.
10. We have implemented a policy that requires an independent third-party valuation of material intangible assets and independent recommendations for the amortization period prior to recording any acquisitions of those assets. In addition, as an enhancement to our established quarterly review procedure of discussing asset impairments with key operating and financial personnel, we will create an Intellectual Property Committee consisting of the Chief Administrative Officer and General Counsel, Chief Accounting Officer and Chief Financial Officer that will be responsible for the oversight of all amortizing and non-amortizing intangible assets, including the annual review of impairment of these assets. For all material intangible assets, this committee will make decisions regarding the use of independent third parties for annual assessments.

In 2003, our stock option approval policies and procedures were changed to allow for annual grants of options to be made primarily on the date of our annual shareholders meeting. In addition, we have changed our stock option approval policies to require that any grant of options to an incoming employee will be priced at the closing price of the stock on the date of employment and that those option grants will require contemporaneous approval by our Compensation Committee.

Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

During the quarter ended September 30, 2006, there were no changes in our internal control over financial reporting that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

PART II

ITEM 1. Legal Proceedings

The information set forth in the legal proceedings section of Note 7, "Commitments and Contingencies," to our consolidated financial statements included in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q is incorporated by reference into this Item 1.

ITEM 1A. Risk Factors

For further information regarding risks and uncertainties affecting us, we refer you to the risk factors set forth in Item 1A of Amendment No. 2 to our Annual Report on Form 10-K/A for the year ended December 31, 2005. Following are material updates to those disclosures.

We recently announced that we will shut down the operations of Newpark Environmental Water Solutions, LLC, or NEWS, and that we will dispose of or redeploy all of the assets used in connection with its operations. This will result in a non-cash pre-tax impairment charge of approximately \$17.8 million and pre-tax cash charges in the range of \$4.0 million to \$4.5 million, which will primarily be incurred in 2006 and 2007. Our failure to shut down the facilities as planned and sell or redeploy the existing equipment and facilities could have a material adverse effect on our consolidated financial statements.

On August 24, 2006, our management with the approval of the Executive Committee of our Board of Directors determined to shut down the operations of NEWS and to dispose of or redeploy all of the assets used in connection with its operations. NEWS was formed in early 2005 to commercialize in the United States and Canada a proprietary and patented water treatment technology owned by a Mexican company. In connection with the shut-down, we recognized, in the quarter ended September 30, 2006, a non-cash pre-tax impairment charge of approximately \$17.8 million against the assets attributable to the water treatment business. This estimated impairment charge relates to the write-down of investments in property, plant and equipment of approximately

[Table of Contents](#)

\$15.8 million and advances and other capitalized costs associated with certain agreements of approximately \$2.0 million which is recorded in the environmental services segment.

In addition, we expect to incur pre-tax cash charges for severance and other exit costs in the range of \$4.0 million to \$4.5 million, including severance costs of approximately \$500,000 and site closure costs of approximately \$3.5 million to \$4.0 million, which will be expensed as incurred, with the majority of these costs expected to be incurred in 2006 and 2007. We expensed \$440,000 in the quarter ended September 30, 2006 in severance and other exit costs related to NEWS.

In September 2006, we started to shut down the facilities of NEWS and will start the site closure process as soon as all existing projects have been completed. In addition, we have begun the process of exploring possible sale of existing equipment and facilities. However, our failure to shut down the facilities as planned and to sell or redeploy the existing equipment and facilities could have a material adverse effect on our consolidated financial statements.

We are subject to legal proceedings that could adversely affect our results of operations, financial condition, liquidity and cash flows.

We and certain of our current directors and former officers are subject to several class action and derivative lawsuits. We also may be subject to other proceedings following the conclusion of the investigation into accounting matters by the Audit Committee of our Board of Directors. We discuss these cases in greater detail above under the caption "Legal Proceedings" and in Note 7 of the Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements contained in this report. We are currently unable to predict or determine the outcome or resolution of these proceedings, or to estimate the amounts of, or potential range of, loss with respect to these proceedings. The range of possible resolutions of these proceedings could include judgments against us or our former or current officers or directors or settlements that could require substantial payments by us, either directly or pursuant to our indemnification obligations to our officers and directors. These payments could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations, financial condition, liquidity and cash flows. In addition, the defense of, or other involvement of our company in, these actions will require management attention and resources.

We may not have adequate insurance for potential liabilities, including potential liabilities arising out of the class action and derivative lawsuits filed against us and our current or former officers and directors. Any significant liability not covered by insurance or exceeding our coverage limits could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition.

While we maintain liability insurance, this insurance is subject to coverage limits. In addition, certain policies do not provide coverage for damages resulting from environmental contamination. We face the following risks with respect to our insurance coverage:

- we may not be able to continue to obtain insurance on commercially reasonable terms or at all;
- we may be faced with types of liabilities that will not be covered by our insurance policies;
- our insurance carriers may not be able to meet their obligations under the policies; and
- the dollar amount of any liabilities may exceed our policy limits.

Even a partially uninsured claim, if successful and of significant size, could have a material adverse effect on our consolidated financial statements.

In connection with our announcement regarding the internal investigation commissioned by our Audit Committee, we have been served with five class action lawsuits against us and certain of our officers and a director and four derivative suits against certain of our former officers and current directors, alleging damages resulting from the loss of value in our common stock subsequent to the announcement of the investigation.

[Table of Contents](#)

We have notified our directors and officers' insurance carrier of these suits and to date our carrier has not acknowledged coverage. We may have an uninsured claim as a result of these lawsuits, which could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations.

The cost of barite has recently experienced significant volatility, and these fluctuations may continue, which may have an adverse effect on our fluid systems and engineering segment.

Barite is a naturally occurring mineral that, when processed, composes a significant portion of many drilling fluids systems. We currently secure all our barite from foreign sources, primarily China and India. Barite from these geographic regions has recently experienced a great deal of cost volatility due to numerous factors. The largest of these cost factors is transportation, comprised of inland transportation and ocean freight. Due to recent wide swings in world demand for raw materials produced in both China and India and the rapidly expanding economies of these same countries, all forms of transportation have experienced unprecedented increases. These transportation costs have been further stressed due to increased world oil costs. In addition to the volatility of shipping costs, basic mineral production and processing costs also have experienced upward pressures. These factors include the proximity of mineral reserves to shipping ports, dwindling reserves, internal labor cost increases due to increased safety regulations and cost of living adjustments as well as increased supply and demand pressures. Recent currency exchange rate fluctuations also have contributed to the upward cost trend. If we are unable to reduce these costs or increase the cost of our barite-based products, we may experience lower margins in the fluids systems and engineering segment.

There is a current drilling fluids industry-backed movement to modify the current barite specific gravity specifications set by the American Petroleum Institute. If accepted, this modification could extend the worldwide usable barite reserves, thus ensuring a longer term supply. However, the modification would have minimal impact on current barite costs such as transportation and logistics. We as a company have been securing rights to produce some limited domestic lower gravity barite should the new lower-specific gravity specifications become acceptable in the industry. If we are not able to secure these rights, we could incur additional costs in selected inland markets in the U.S. domestic sales areas.

We have identified material weaknesses in our internal control over financial reporting, which, if not remedied effectively, could have an adverse effect on our business and our stock price.

As further described in Item 4, Part I, under the heading "Controls and Procedures," our current Chief Executive Officer and current Chief Financial Officer, with the participation of current management, have evaluated the effectiveness of our "disclosure controls and procedures" (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended), as of the end of the period covered by this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q.

Based on their evaluation, they have concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures as of the end of the period covered by this report are not adequate to ensure that (1) information required to be disclosed by us in the reports filed or furnished by us under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the rules and forms of the Security and Exchange Commission and (2) the information is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. Based on that evaluation, our current Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures as of the end of the period covered by this report were not effective at reaching a reasonable level of assurance of achieving the desired objectives because of the material weaknesses in our internal control over financial reporting discussed above under the heading "Controls and Procedures."

[Table of Contents](#)

ITEM 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

Not applicable.

ITEM 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities

Not applicable.

ITEM 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders

Not applicable.

ITEM 5. Other Information

Not applicable.

ITEM 6. Exhibits

- 10.1 2004 Non-Employee Directors' Stock Option Plan, as amended.
- 31.1 Certification of Paul L. Howes pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 31.2 Certification of James E. Braun pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 32.1 Certification of Paul L. Howes pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 32.2 Certification of James E. Braun pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

NEWPARK RESOURCES, INC.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

Date: November 9, 2006

NEWPARK RESOURCES, INC.

By: /s/ Paul L. Howes
Paul L. Howes,
President and Chief Executive Officer
(Principal Executive Officer)

By: /s/ James E. Braun
James E. Braun,
Vice President and Chief Financial Officer
(Principal Financial Officer)

By: /s/ Eric M. Wingerter
Eric M. Wingerter,
Vice President and Controller
(Principal Accounting Officer)

EXHIBIT INDEX

- 10.1 2004 Non-Employee Directors' Stock Option Plan, as amended.
- 31.1 Certification of Paul L. Howes pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 31.2 Certification of James E. Braun pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 32.1 Certification of Paul L. Howes pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 32.2 Certification of James E. Braun pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

NEWPARK RESOURCES, INC.
2004 NON-EMPLOYEE DIRECTORS' STOCK OPTION PLAN
(ADOPTED BY THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS ON MARCH 10, 2004 AND
AMENDED BY THE COMPENSATION COMMITTEE ON SEPTEMBER 12, 2006 AND
SEPTEMBER 15, 2006)

1. Purpose.

This Newpark Resources, Inc., 2004 Non-Employee Directors' Stock Option Plan (this "Plan") is intended to promote the best interests of Newpark Resources, Inc., a Delaware corporation ("Newpark"), and its stockholders by providing to each member of Newpark's Board of Directors (the "Board") who is a Non-Employee Director (as defined in paragraph 3 herein) of Newpark with an opportunity to acquire a proprietary interest in Newpark by receiving options (each a "Stock Option") to purchase Newpark's common stock, \$.01 par value ("Common Stock"), as herein provided. It is intended that this Plan will promote an increased incentive and personal interest in the welfare of Newpark by those individuals who are primarily responsible for shaping the long-range plans of Newpark. In addition, Newpark seeks both to attract and retain on its Board persons of exceptional competence and to provide a further incentive to serve as a director of Newpark.

2. Administration.

2.1 This Plan shall be administered by the Board or by a duly authorized committee of the Board. At such times as the Board is administering this Plan, all references in this Plan to the "Committee" shall mean the Board.

2.2 In addition to the automatic grants of Stock Options provided for in paragraph 4 of this Plan, the Committee shall have full and complete authority, in its discretion: to grant Stock Options to one or more Non-Employee Directors; to determine the number of Stock Options to be granted to a Non-Employee Director; to determine the time or times at which Stock Options shall be granted; to establish the exercise price and the other terms and conditions upon which Stock Options may be exercised; to remove or adjust any restrictions and conditions upon Stock Options; to specify, at the time of grant, provisions relating to the exercisability of Stock Options and to accelerate or otherwise modify the exercisability of any Stock Options; and to adopt such rules and regulations and to make all other determinations deemed necessary or desirable for the administration of this Plan. All interpretations and constructions of this Plan by the Committee, and all of its actions hereunder, shall be binding and conclusive on all persons for all purposes.

2.3 Newpark shall indemnify and hold harmless each Committee member and each director of Newpark, and the estate and heirs of such Committee member or director, against all claims, liabilities, expenses, penalties, damages or other pecuniary losses, including legal fees, which such Committee member or director, his or her estate or his or her heirs may suffer as a result of his or her responsibilities, obligations or duties in connection with this Plan, to the extent that insurance, if any, does not cover the payment of such items.

3. Eligibility.

Each member of the Board who is not an employee or executive officer of Newpark or any of its Subsidiaries (as herein defined) or of any parent corporation of Newpark (a "Non-Employee Director") shall be eligible to be granted Stock Options under this Plan. Eligibility shall be determined: (i) with respect to each director serving on the Board on the date this Plan was adopted by the Board (i.e., March 10, 2004) on that date; and (ii) with respect to each director elected after this Plan was adopted by the Board, on the date such director is so elected. A Stock Option, once granted to a Non-Employee Director, shall remain in effect in accordance with its terms even if the optionee later enters the employ of Newpark or a Subsidiary or parent. "Subsidiary" shall mean each corporation which is a "subsidiary corporation" of Newpark within the definition contained in Section 424(f) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the "Code").

4. Grants.

4.1 Subject to stockholder approval of this Plan, each Non-Employee Director who is first elected a director after March 10, 2004, will be granted a Stock Option to purchase 10,000 shares of Common Stock automatically on the date of such election.

4.2 Subject to stockholder approval of this Plan, each Non-Employee Director (whether in office on March 10, 2004, or subsequently elected) shall be granted a Stock Option to purchase 10,000 shares of Common Stock automatically on the date of each annual meeting of stockholders (or stockholder action in lieu thereof by which the Board of Directors is elected) at which such Non-Employee Director is re-elected, commencing with the annual meeting in 2004. If no annual meeting of stockholders (or stockholder action in lieu thereof by which the Board of Directors is elected) occurs in a calendar year, and such Non-Employee Director continues in office as a Non-Employee Director at the end of such calendar year, then such Non-Employee Director automatically shall be granted such Stock Option pursuant to this Section 4.2 on the last business day of such calendar year, subject to the terms and conditions of the 2004 Plan.

4.3 Subject to the provisions of paragraph 11 of this Plan, the number of shares of Common Stock issued and issuable upon the exercise of Stock Options granted under this Plan shall not exceed 1,000,000.

5. Purchase Price.

The purchase price (the "Exercise Price") of shares of Common Stock subject to each Stock Option ("Option Shares") granted pursuant to paragraph 4 shall equal the fair market value ("Fair Market Value") of such shares on the date of grant (the "Date of Grant") of such Stock Option. The Fair Market Value of a share of Common Stock on any date shall be equal to the closing price of the Common Stock on such Date of Grant, or, if such Date of Grant is not a trading day, on the trading day immediately preceding such date, and the method for determining the closing price shall be determined by the Committee. Notwithstanding the foregoing, the Exercise Price of shares of Common Stock subject to each Stock Option granted at the discretion of the Committee pursuant to paragraph 2.2 shall be determined by the Committee in its sole and absolute discretion, and may be less than the fair market value of the Option Shares on the date of grant, but shall not be less than \$1.00 per share.

6. Option Period.

The term of each Stock Option shall commence on the Date of Grant of the Stock Option and shall be ten years. Subject to the other provisions of this Plan, (i) each Stock Option granted pursuant to paragraph 4.1 shall be exercisable during its term as to 20% of the Option Shares during the twelve months beginning on the first anniversary of the Date of Grant; 20% of the Option Shares during the twelve months beginning on the second anniversary of the Date of Grant; 20% during the twelve months beginning on the third anniversary of the Date of Grant; 20% during the twelve months beginning on the fourth anniversary of the Date of Grant; and 20% during the twelve months beginning on the fifth anniversary of the Date of Grant; and (ii) each Stock Option granted pursuant to paragraph 4.2 shall be exercisable during its term as to one-third of the Option Shares during the twelve months beginning on the first anniversary of the Date of Grant; one-third of the Option Shares during the twelve months beginning on the second anniversary of the date of grant; and one-third of the Option Shares during the twelve months beginning on the third anniversary of the date of grant; provided, however, that no Stock Option granted pursuant to this Plan shall be exercisable unless and until stockholder approval of the Plan has been obtained. If an optionee shall not in any period purchase all of the Option Shares which the optionee is entitled to purchase in such period, the optionee may purchase all or any part of such Option Shares at any time after the end of such period and prior to the expiration of the Option.

7. Exercise of Options.

7.1 Each Stock Option may be exercised in whole or in part (but not as to fractional shares) by delivering it for surrender or endorsement to Newpark, attention of the Corporate Secretary, at Newpark's principal office, together with payment of the Exercise Price and an executed Notice and Agreement of Exercise in the form prescribed by paragraph 7.2. Payment may be made in cash, by cashier's or certified check, or by surrender of previously owned shares of Common Stock valued pursuant to paragraph 5 (if the Committee authorizes payment in stock).

7.2 Exercise of each Stock Option is conditioned upon the agreement of the Non-Employee Director to the terms and conditions of this Plan and of such Stock Option as evidenced by the Non-Employee Director's execution and delivery of a Notice and Agreement of Exercise in a form to be determined by the Committee in its discretion. Such Notice and Agreement of Exercise shall set forth the agreement of the Non-Employee Director that: (a) no Option Shares will be sold or otherwise distributed in violation of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the "Securities Act"), or any other applicable federal or state securities laws; (b) each Option Share certificate may be imprinted with legends reflecting any applicable federal and state securities law restrictions and conditions; (c) Newpark may comply with said securities law restrictions and issue "stop transfer" instructions to its Transfer Agent and Registrar without liability; (d) each Non-Employee Director will furnish to Newpark a copy of each Form 4 or Form 5 filed by said Non-Employee Director under Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act"), and will timely file all reports required under federal securities laws; and (e) each Non-Employee Director will report all sales of Option Shares to Newpark in writing on a form prescribed by Newpark.

7.3 No Stock Option shall be exercisable unless and until any applicable registration or qualification requirements of federal and state securities laws, and all other legal

requirements, have been fully complied with. Newpark will use reasonable efforts to maintain the effectiveness of a Registration Statement under the Securities Act for the issuance of Stock Options and shares acquired thereunder, but there may be times when no such Registration Statement will be currently effective. The exercise of Stock Options may be temporarily suspended without liability to Newpark during times when no such Registration Statement is currently effective, or during times when, in the reasonable opinion of the Committee, such suspension is necessary to preclude violation of any requirements of applicable law or regulatory bodies having jurisdiction over Newpark. If any Stock Option would expire for any reason except the end of its term during such a suspension, then, if exercise of such Stock Option is duly tendered before its expiration, such Stock Option shall be exercisable and exercised (unless the attempted exercise is withdrawn) as of the first day after the end of such suspension. Newpark shall have no obligation to file any Registration Statement covering resales of Option Shares.

8. Continuous Directorship.

Except as provided in paragraph 10 below, a Non-Employee Director may not exercise a Stock Option unless from the Date of Grant to the date of exercise such Non-Employee Director continuously serves as a director of Newpark.

9. Restrictions on Transfer.

Stock Options granted under this Plan may contain terms specifically authorized by the Committee, in its sole discretion, which (i) permit transfer of all or any portion of such Stock Options by an optionee to (a) the spouse, children (including step-children and adopted children) or grandchildren of the optionee ("Immediate Family Members"), (b) a trust or trusts for the exclusive benefit of Immediate Family Members, (c) a corporation, partnership, limited partnership or limited liability company in which no persons or entities other than such optionee and Immediate Family Members have beneficial interests, or (d) such other persons or entities as the Committee may specifically approve, on a case-by-case basis, and (ii) permit the exercise of such Stock Options by such transferees. Unless the Committee shall determine otherwise in its sole discretion, transferred Stock Options may not be further transferred by the transferees thereof except by will or the laws of descent and distribution or pursuant to a qualified domestic relations order. Notwithstanding any transfer permitted in accordance with the foregoing provisions, transferred Stock Options shall continue to be subject to the same terms and conditions as were applicable immediately before such transfer (other than permitting such Stock Options to be exercised by a permitted transferee), including but not limited to the provisions of this Plan and option agreements governing (x) the exercise of Stock Options, (y) the termination of Stock Options at the expiration of their term or following termination of the directorship of the Non-Employee Director to which the Stock Options were issued and (z) the payment of withholding taxes. No interest under this Plan of any Non-Employee Director or transferee shall be subject to attachment, execution, garnishment, sequestration, the laws of bankruptcy or any other legal or equitable process. Except as otherwise specifically provided by the Committee in accordance with this paragraph 9, each Stock Option granted under this Plan may not be transferred except by will or the laws of descent and distribution or pursuant to a qualified domestic relations order and shall be exercisable during a Non-Employee Director's lifetime only by such Non-Employee Director or by such Non-Employee Director's legal representative.

10. Termination of Service.

10.1 Unless otherwise determined by the Committee, in its sole discretion, upon termination of the directorship of a Non-Employee Director by reason of death, all outstanding Stock Options to the extent exercisable on the date of death of the Non-Employee Director shall remain in full force and effect and may be exercised pursuant to the provisions thereof at any time prior to expiration at the end of the fixed term thereof. Unless otherwise determined by the Committee, in its sole discretion, upon termination of the directorship of a Non-Employee Director by reason of Disability, all outstanding Stock Options to the extent exercisable on the date of termination of directorship may be exercised pursuant to the provisions thereof at any time until the earlier of (a) the end of the fixed term of such Stock Options and (b) the later of the expiration of (i) twelve months following termination of the Non-Employee Director's directorship and (ii) a number of months (but not more than eighteen months) following termination of the Non-Employee Director's directorship equal to one month for each full year of such Non-Employee Director's continuous service as a Non-Employee Director. Unless otherwise determined by the Committee, in its sole discretion, all Stock Options to the extent not outstanding and presently exercisable by such Non-Employee Director at the date of death or termination of directorship by reason of Disability, shall terminate as of the date of death or such termination of directorship and shall not be exercisable thereafter.

10.2 Unless otherwise determined by the Committee, in its sole discretion, upon the termination of the directorship of a Non-Employee Director for any reason other than the reasons set forth in paragraph 10.1, all outstanding Stock Options to the extent exercisable on the date of termination of directorship may be exercised pursuant to the provisions thereof at any time until the earlier of (a) the end of the fixed term of such Stock Options and (b) the later of the expiration of (i) three months following termination of the Non-Employee Director's directorship and (ii) a number of months (but not more than eighteen months) following termination of the Non-Employee Director's directorship equal to one month for each full year of such Non-Employee Director's service as a Non-Employee Director. Unless otherwise determined by the Committee, in its sole discretion, all Stock Options to the extent not then outstanding and presently exercisable by such Non-Employee Director at the date of termination of directorship shall terminate as of the date of such termination of directorship and shall not be exercisable thereafter.

10.3 For purposes of this Plan, "Disability" shall mean total and permanent incapacity of a Non-Employee Director, due to physical impairment or legally established mental incompetence, to perform the usual duties of a director, which disability shall be determined: (i) on medical evidence by a licensed physician designated by the Committee, or (ii) on evidence that the Non-Employee Director has become entitled to receive primary benefits as a disabled employee under the Social Security Act in effect on the date of such disability.

11. Adjustments Upon Change in Capitalization.

11.1 The number and class of shares subject to each Stock Option outstanding from time to time, the Exercise Price thereof (but not the total price), the maximum number of Stock Options that may be granted under this Plan, and the minimum number of shares as to which a Stock Option may be exercised at any one time, shall be proportionately adjusted in the event of any increase or decrease in the number of the issued shares of Common Stock which results from a split-up or consolidation of shares, payment of a stock dividend or dividends

exceeding a total of two and one-half percent (2.5%) for which the record dates occur in any one fiscal year, a recapitalization (other than the conversion of convertible securities according to their terms), a combination of shares or other like capital adjustment (a "Capital Adjustment"), so that upon exercise of the Stock Option, the Non-Employee Director shall receive the number and class of shares such Non-Employee Director would have received had such Non-Employee Director been the holder of the number of shares of Common Stock for which the Stock Option is being exercised upon the date of such Capital Adjustment. A similar adjustment shall be made to the number of Option Shares for which Stock Options shall be granted automatically to Non-Employee Directors after March 10, 2004, as contemplated by paragraph 4 of this Plan, as a result of any Capital Adjustment occurring after March 10, 2004.

11.2 Upon a reorganization, merger or consolidation of Newport with one or more corporations as a result of which Newport is not the surviving corporation or in which Newport survives as a subsidiary of another corporation, or upon a sale of all or substantially all of the property of Newport to another corporation, or any dividend or distribution to stockholders of more than ten percent (10%) of Newport's assets, adequate adjustment or other provisions shall be made by Newport or other party to such transaction so that there shall remain and/or be substituted for the Option Shares provided for herein, the shares, securities or assets which would have been issuable or payable in respect of or in exchange for such Option Shares then remaining, as if the Non-Employee Director had been the owner of such shares as of the applicable date. Any securities so substituted shall be subject to similar successive adjustments.

11.3 Subject to paragraph 19, in the event of a change in control ("Change in Control") of Newport, all outstanding Stock Options shall immediately become and shall thereafter be exercisable in full until expiration at the end of the fixed term thereof or until earlier terminated in accordance with paragraph 10 or paragraph 16. A Change in Control of Newport shall be deemed to have occurred (a) on the date Newport first has actual knowledge that any person (as such term is used in Sections 13(d) and 14(d)(2) of the Exchange Act or any amendment or replacement of such sections) has become the beneficial owner (as defined in Rule 13(d)-3 under the Exchange Act or any amendment or replacement of such Rule), directly or indirectly, of securities of the Company representing forty percent (40%) or more of the combined voting power of Newport's then outstanding securities or (b) on the date the stockholders of Newport approve (i) a merger of Newport with or into any other corporation in which Newport is not the surviving corporation or in which Newport survives as a subsidiary of another corporation, (ii) a consolidation of Newport with any other corporation, or (iii) the sale or disposition of all or substantially all of Newport's assets or a plan of complete liquidation.

12. Withholding Taxes.

Newport shall have the right at the time of grant, vesting or exercise of any Stock Option to make adequate provision for any federal, state, local or foreign taxes which it reasonably believes are or may be required by law to be withheld with respect to such grant, vesting or exercise ("Tax Liability"), to ensure the payment of any such Tax Liability. Newport may provide for the payment of any Tax Liability by any of the following means or a combination of such means, as determined by the Committee in its sole and absolute discretion in the particular case: (i) by requiring the Non-Employee Director to tender a cash payment to Newport, (ii) by withholding from the Non-Employee Director's cash compensation, (iii) by withholding from the Option Shares which would otherwise be issuable upon exercise of the Stock Option that number of Option Shares having an aggregate fair market value (determined in

the manner prescribed by paragraph 5) as of the date the withholding tax obligation arises in an amount which is equal to the Non-Employee Director's Tax Liability or (iv) by any other method deemed appropriate by the Committee. Satisfaction of the Tax Liability of a Non-Employee Director may be made by the method of payment specified in clause (iii) above upon the satisfaction of such additional conditions as the Committee shall deem in its sole and absolute discretion as appropriate in order for such withholding of Option Shares to qualify for the exemption provided for in Section 16b-3 of the Exchange Act.

13. Amendments and Termination.

The Board of Directors may at any time suspend, amend or terminate this Plan. No amendment or modification of this Plan may be adopted, except subject to stockholder approval, which would: (a) materially increase the benefits accruing to Non-Employee Directors under this Plan, (b) materially increase the maximum number of Option Shares which may be issued under this Plan (except for adjustments pursuant to paragraph 11), or (c) materially modify the requirements as to eligibility for participation in this Plan.

14. Successors in Interest.

The provisions of this Plan and the actions of the Committee shall be binding upon all heirs, successors and assigns of Newpark and of Non-Employee Directors.

15. Other Documents.

All documents prepared, executed or delivered in connection with this Plan shall be, in substance and form, as established and modified by the Committee or by persons under its direction and supervision; provided, however, that all such documents shall be subject in every respect to the provisions of this Plan, and in the event of any conflict between the terms of any such document and this Plan, the provisions of this Plan shall prevail.

16. Misconduct of a Non-Employee Director.

Notwithstanding any other provision of this Plan, all unexercised Stock Options held by a Non-Employee Director shall automatically terminate as of the date his or her directorship is terminated, if such directorship is terminated on account of any act of fraud, embezzlement, misappropriation or conversion of assets or opportunities of Newpark, or if the Non-Employee Director takes any other action materially inimical to the best interests of Newpark, as determined by the Committee in its sole and absolute discretion. Upon termination of such Stock Options, such Non-Employee Director shall forfeit all rights and benefits under this Plan.

17. Term of Plan.

This Plan was adopted by the Board effective as of March 10, 2004. No Stock Options may be granted under this Plan after March 9, 2014.

18. Governing Law.

This Plan shall be construed in accordance with, and governed by, the laws of the State of Delaware.

19. Stockholder Approval of Plan.

No Stock Option granted pursuant to this Plan shall be exercisable unless and until the stockholders of Newpark have approved this Plan, and all other legal requirements have been fully complied with. If stockholder approval of this Plan is not obtained on or before March 9, 2005, this Plan shall be null and void and of no further force or effect.

20. Privileges of Stock Ownership.

The holder of a Stock Option shall not be entitled to the privileges of stock ownership as to any shares of Common Stock not actually issued to such holder.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, this Plan been executed as of March 10, 2004.

NEWPARK RESOURCES, INC.

By: /s/ DAVID P. HUNT

David P. Hunt,
Chairman of the Board

Certification Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

I, Paul L. Howes, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q of Newpark Resources, Inc.;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
 - (a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - (b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - (c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - (d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - (a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - (b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: November 9, 2006

/s/ Paul L. Howes

Paul L. Howes,
President and Chief Executive Officer

Certification Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

I, James E. Braun, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q of Newpark Resources, Inc.;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
 - (a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - (b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - (c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - (d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - (a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - (b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: November 9, 2006

/s/ James E. Braun
James E. Braun,
Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

Certification Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

In connection with the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended September 30, 2006, of Newpark Resources, Inc. (the "Company"), as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the "Report"), I, Paul L. Howes, President and Chief Executive Officer (Principal Executive Officer) of the Company, certify, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that:

- (1) The Report fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended; and
- (2) The information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

Date: November 9, 2006

/s/ Paul L. Howes

Paul L. Howes,
President and Chief Executive Officer

A signed original of this written statement required by Section 906, or other document authenticating, acknowledging, or otherwise adopting the signature that appears in typed form within the electronic version of this written statement required by Section 906, has been provided to the Company and will be retained by the Company and furnished to the Securities and Exchange Commission or its staff upon request.

Certification Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

In connection with the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended September 30, 2006, of Newpark Resources, Inc. (the "Company"), as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the "Report"), I, James E. Braun, Vice President and Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial Officer) of the Company, certify, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that:

- (1) The Report fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended; and
- (2) The information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

Date: November 9, 2006

/s/ James E. Braun

James E. Braun,

Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

A signed original of this written statement required by Section 906, or other document authenticating, acknowledging, or otherwise adopting the signature that appears in typed form within the electronic version of this written statement required by Section 906, has been provided to the Company and will be retained by the Company and furnished to the Securities and Exchange Commission or its staff upon request.